

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 STEVEN RANAE GLEEN CANDLER,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 JOHN & JANE DOES, et al.,
8 Defendants.

No. 1:23-cv-00459-JLT-SAB (PC)
ORDER SUMMARILY DENYING
PLAINTIFF'S NINTH MOTION FOR
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
(ECF No. 65)

9
10 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant
11 to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

12 Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's ninth motion for appointment of counsel, filed
13 May 6, 2024. Plaintiff seeks appointment of counsel under Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S.
14 668 (1984), which applies to the Sixth Amendment right to effective assistance of counsel in
15 criminal proceedings.

16 Plaintiff is well aware that he does not have a constitutional right to appointment of
17 counsel in this case and all prior seven motions for appointment of counsel have been denied.
18 (ECF Nos. 24, 29, 33, 36, 48, 50, 59.) Plaintiff has yet again failed to demonstrate extraordinary
19 circumstances to warrant the appointment of counsel and there continues to be no basis to warrant
20 appointment of counsel. Therefore, Plaintiff's ninth motion for appointment of counsel is
21 summarily denied. The court will consider no more requests from the plaintiff on this subject
22 matter. As this is a civil case brought by the Plaintiff, it is time for Plaintiff to proceed with his
23 action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: May 8, 2024


25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE