



1 (Id. at 6-7, citing *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiffs did not  
2 file objections, and the time to do so has passed.

3 According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court performed a *de novo* review of this  
4 case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and  
5 Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Though the Court appreciates  
6 how distressing it was to the plaintiffs to receive the phone call at issue, that conduct does not  
7 violate the Constitution. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 8 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued March 4, 2024 (Doc. 11) are  
9 **ADOPTED** in full.
- 10 2. This case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.
- 11 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

12  
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: May 8, 2024

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28