1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	A.L., a minor, by and through his guardian	Case No. 1:23-cv-00885-CDB
12	ad litem, JANAE LEWIS,	SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
13	Plaintiff,	
14	V.	Discovery Deadlines: Non-Expert: June 26, 2024
15	CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, et al.,	Expert: August 30, 2024 Mid-Discovery Conf.: May 8, 2024
16	Defendants.	Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
17		Filing: October 25, 2024 Hearing: November 29, 2024
18		Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
19		Filing: December 20, 2024 Hearing: January 24, 2025
20		
21		Pre-Trial Conf.: March 7, 2025 (10:30am) 510 19th Street, Bakersfield
22		Trial: May 7, 2025 (8:30am)
23		510 19th Street, Bakersfield (5-7 days)
24		
25	Plaintiff brings claims against City of Bakersfield, Officer Michael Mayorga, and Does 1	
26	through 10 for unreasonable search and seizure, excessive force and failure to train, pursuant to 42	
27	U.S.C. § 1983, for municipal liability under Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Srvcs. of City of New York, 436	

U.S. 658 (1978), and related claims pursuant to state law. The Court convened a scheduling

conference off the record on October 6, 2023. Cameron Sehat appeared on behalf of Plaintiff. Heather Cohen appeared on behalf of Defendants.

#### I. Pleading Amendment

Any motions to amend the pleadings, including to substitute named parties for Doe Defendants, must be filed by **December 20, 2023**. *E.g., Dillman v. Tuolumne Cnty.*, No. 1:13-cv-00404-LJO-SKO, 2014 WL 228582, at \*5 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 21, 2014). The parties should not construe a timely filing of a motion or stipulation requesting leave to amend the pleadings to necessarily constitute good cause to modify the existing schedule, if necessary. All proposed amendments must (A) be supported by good cause pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) if the amendment requires any modification to the existing schedule, *see Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992), and (B) establish, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), that such an amendment is not (1) prejudicial to the opposing party, (2) the product of undue delay, (3) proposed in bad faith, or (4) futile. *See Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

## II. <u>Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date</u>

The parties have exchanged their initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1).

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before **June 26, 2024**, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **August 30, 2024**.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before **June 26, 2024**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **July 31, 2024**. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply may result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

 $_{28} \parallel$ 

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for May 8, 2024, at 9:30 a.m. before Magistrate Judge Christopher D. Baker. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report no later than one week before the conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to CDBorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint status report SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel SHALL discuss settlement and certify in the joint status report (1) that they have met/conferred regarding settlement, and (2) proposed dates for convening a settlement conference with an unassigned magistrate judge.

## III. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule / Informal Discovery Dispute Conferences

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed by

October 25, 2024<sup>1</sup> and heard on or before November 29, 2024. For these hearings and at the direction of the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, the Court may direct counsel to appear remotely (via Zoom). For hearings noticed to occur in-person, the Court may permit counsel to appear remotely (via Zoom) provided the Courtroom Deputy Clerk receives a written notice of the request to appear remotely no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least three days before the first deadline the parties wish to extend.

No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of Magistrate Judge Baker. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the requesting party promptly shall seek a conference with all involved parties and Magistrate Judge Baker. To schedule this conference, the parties should contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. At least two days before the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non-dispositive motions related to non-expert discovery SHALL be filed within a reasonable time of discovery of the dispute, but in no event later than 30 days after the expiration of the non-expert discovery deadline.

conference, counsel SHALL file a joint, informal letter brief detailing each party's position. Each party's narrative shall not exceed three pages, excluding exhibits. At the commencement of the conference, if the parties jointly agree to Magistrate Judge Baker's consideration and resolution of the discovery disputes outside the formal Local Rule 251 procedures, the Court will entertain arguments by the parties and issue a ruling. If the parties do not jointly agree to the informal discovery dispute resolution procedures set forth herein, the requesting party may then seek relief through motion to compel. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from the Court's calendar.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **December 20, 2024,** and heard on or before **January 24, 2025**. Motions are heard before Magistrate Judge Baker at 10:30 a.m., at the United States District Courthouse, 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA.

## IV. <u>Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication</u>

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties SHALL meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party SHALL initiate the meeting and SHALL provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts **at least five days before** the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts SHALL include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion, the moving party SHALL certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.

## V. Pre-Trial Conference

**March 7, 2025**, at 10:30 a.m., located at the United States District Courthouse, 510 19<sup>th</sup> Street, Bakersfield, CA, before Magistrate Judge Baker.

The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Magistrate Judge Baker's chambers, by email at CDBorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules, the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

#### VI. Trial Date

**May 7, 2025**, at 8:30 a.m., located at the United States District Courthouse, 510 19<sup>th</sup> Street, Bakersfield, CA, before Magistrate Judge Baker.

- A. This is a jury trial
- B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 5-7 days
- C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California, Rule 285.

#### VII. Settlement Conference

The parties SHALL meet and confer and notify the Court prior to the Pre-Trial Conference whether they wish to convene a Settlement Conference. In the event the parties request a Settlement Conference, unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the settlement conference **with the parties** and the person or persons having full authority to negotiate and settle the case on any terms<sup>2</sup> at the conference. Consideration of settlement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and

who will be directly involved in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible, the representative shall have authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent demand.

is a serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

At least twenty-one days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful<sup>3</sup> settlement demand which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, no later than fourteen days before the settlement conference, Defendant SHALL respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate.

If settlement is not achieved, each party SHALL attach copies of their settlement offers to their Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall not be filed on the court docket.

#### CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

At least five court days prior to the settlement conference, the parties shall submit a Confidential Settlement Conference Statement via email directly to the chambers of the unassigned magistrate judge referred to the settlement conference. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference Statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.

The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

- A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
- B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
  - C. A summary of the proceedings to date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Meaningful" means the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the settlement conference via stipulation.

1	
2	
3	
4	of 1
5	VI
6	
7	
8	IX.
9	
10	X.
11	
12	and
13	the
14	XI.
15	
16	sui
17	par
18	ord
19	stip
20	
21	sho
22	ext
22	off

25

26

27

28

D. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial.

- E. The relief sought.
- F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers and demands.

# VIII. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten Trial

The parties request bifurcation on the issue of punitive damages.

## IX. Related Matters Pending

There are no pending related matters.

## X. <u>Compliance with Federal Procedure</u>

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court requires compliance with these Rules to efficiently handle its increasing case load.

## XI. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 6, 2023

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE