

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JONATHAN ROBERTSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-cv-00975-SAB

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT
TO RANDOMLY ASSIGN A DISTRICT
JUDGE TO THIS ACTION

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
RECOMMENDING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION
TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS BE
DENIED

(ECF No. 2)

DEADLINE: FOURTEEN DAYS

Plaintiff Jonathan Robertson (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding with counsel in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff also submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this action. (ECF No. 2.) On July 5, 2023, the Court received Plaintiff’s prisoner trust account statement from the Central California Women’s Facility (CCWF). (ECF No. 6.) For the reasons discussed herein, the Court shall recommend Plaintiff’s application to proceed IFP be denied.

///
///
///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I.

DISCUSSION

28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) permits a plaintiff to bring a civil action “without prepayment of fees or security thereof” if the plaintiff submits a financial affidavit that demonstrates the plaintiff’s “is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor.” A prisoner seeking to bring a civil action must, in addition to filing an affidavit, “submit a certified copy of the trust fund account statement ... for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint ... obtained from the appropriate official of each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).

Plaintiff has filed an application declaring that, due to poverty, Plaintiff is unable to pre-pay the full amount of fees and costs for these proceedings or give security therefor, and that contends Plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought in the complaint. (ECF No. 2.) On July 5, 2023, however, the Court received Plaintiff’s prison trust account statement from CCWF. (ECF No. 6.) The statement provides that as of July 3, 2023, Plaintiff currently has \$12,619.13 in the trust account. Thus, the available balance in Plaintiff’s account reflects that Plaintiff can pay the \$402.00 filing fee for this action.

Based on the foregoing, the information that Plaintiff has provided to the Court reflects that Plaintiff is financially able to pre-pay the entire filing fee to commence this action. Although the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has held that “the filing fee ... should not take the prisoner’s last dollar,” Olivares v. Marshall, 59 F.3d 109, 112 (9th Cir. 1995), in these circumstances, it appears Plaintiff has sufficient funds to pre-pay the \$402.00 filing fee with money left over. Should Plaintiff have additional information to provide the Court, or should Plaintiff’s available balance change by the time he receives this order, he may notify the Court. However, Plaintiff is advised that the Court has the authority to consider any reasons and circumstances for any change in Plaintiff’s available assets and funds. See Collier v. Tatum, 722 F.2d 653, 656 (11th Cir. 1983) (district court may consider an unexplained decrease in an inmate’s trust account, or whether an inmate’s account has been depleted intentionally to avoid

1 court costs). Therefore, Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* must be denied. If
2 Plaintiff wishes to proceed with this action, Plaintiff must pre-pay the \$402.00 filing fee in full.

3 **II.**

4 **ORDER AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

5 Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall
6 randomly assign a District Judge to this action.

7 Further, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 8 1. Plaintiff Jonathan Robertson's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 2)
9 be denied; and
10 2. Plaintiff be directed to pay the \$402.00 filing fee for this action.

11 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District
12 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within **fourteen**
13 **(14) days** after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file
14 written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate
15 Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections
16 within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler,
17 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir.
18 1991)).

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: July 5, 2023

21 
22 _____
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28