1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	EBER G. RUTH,	No. 1:23-cv-01231-JLT-SKO		
12 13	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
14	v. THOMPSON WEST GROUP PUBLISHING, et al., Defendants.	(Docs. 7 & 8)		
15		ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO PAY FILING FEE IN FULL WITHIN THIRTY DAYS		
16 17				
18	Plaintiff Eber G. Ruth is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> with this civil rights action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B)			
19				
20	and Local Rule 302.			
21	On September 8, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge entered findings and recommendations, recommending that Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 7) be			
22				
23	denied, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and	that Plaintiff be ordered to pay the \$402.00 initial		
24	filing fee in full to proceed with this action. (Doc. 8 at 3.)		
25	Plaintiff was provided an opportunity	to file objections to the findings and		
26	recommendations. The deadline to file objecti	ions has passed, and Plaintiff has not filed objections		
27	or otherwise responded to the findings and recommendations. ¹			
28	Plaintiff did, however, file a notice of appeal on September 19, 2023. (Doc. 9.) On December 1			

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a		
2	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the		
3	magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper		
4	analysis.		
5	Acco	ordingly,	
6	1.	The findings and recommendat	ions issued on September 8, 2023 (Doc. 8), are
7		ADOPTED IN FULL.	
8	2.	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), Plaintiff is not allowed proceed in forma
9		pauperis in this action and his	motion (Doc. 7) is DENIED .
10	3.	If Plaintiff wants to proceed wi	th this action, Plaintiff SHALL pay the \$402 filing
11		fee in full within thirty days of	the date of service of this order. If Plaintiff does not
12		timely pay the filing fee, this m	atter may be dismissed without further notice.
13	IT IS SO OF	DDEDED	
14			Ocarilla I Tarasalm
15	Dated:	December 18, 2023	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16			•
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			or the Ninth Circuit dismissed Plaintiff's appeal for
28	L lack of inrise	diction. (Doc. 12.)	