## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

J.F., a minor, by and through her Guardian Ad Litem, LIZ FRANCO, <i>et al.</i> ,  Plaintiffs,  v.	Case No. 1:23-cv-01569-JLT-BAM  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE ORDER  ORDER SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE	
CITY OF WOODLAKE. et al.,  Defendants.	<b>Status Conference</b>	April 11, 2024 8:30 AM Courtroom 8 (BAM)
	Amendment to Pleadings:	August 9, 2024
	Initial Disclosure:	February 12, 2024
	Expert Disclosure:	May 16, 2025
	Supplemental Expert Disclosure:	June 20, 2025
	Non-expert Discovery Cutoff:	April 11, 2025
	Expert Discovery Cutoff:	August 8, 2025
	Pretrial Motion Filing Deadline:	August 29, 2025
	Pretrial Conference:	February 9, 2026 1:30 p.m. Courtroom 4 (JLT)
	Jury Trial: (5-7 days)	April 14, 2026 8:30 a.m. Courtroom 4 (JLT)

This Court conducted a Scheduling Conference on February 5, 2024. Counsel Eric Valenzuela appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs. Counsel Nicole Cahill appeared on behalf of Defendants.

During the scheduling conference, the parties indicated that they would explore early resolution of the matter following initial disclosures. The parties further informed the Court that they were contemplating stipulating to a protective order and discussing the exchange of ESI.

To assess the parties' progress in initial discovery and status of a potential protective order, the Court sets a Status Conference for April 11, 2024, at 8:30 AM in Courtroom 8 (BAM) before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe. If the parties believe the Status Conference is no longer necessary, the parties shall inform the Court one week prior to the Status Conference, and the Status Conference will be vacated. The parties shall appear at the conference remotely either via Zoom video conference or Zoom telephone number. The parties will be provided with the Zoom ID and password by the Courtroom Deputy prior to the conference. The Zoom ID number and password are confidential and are not to be shared. Appropriate court attire required.

## 1. Current Status of Consent to the Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties have not consented to conduct all further proceedings in this case, including trial, before the Honorable Barbara A. McAuliffe, U.S. Magistrate Judge.

## 2. Amendment to the Parties' Pleadings

All stipulated amendments or motions to amend shall be filed by August 9, 2024.

## 3. F.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures

Initial disclosures shall be completed on or before February 12, 2024.

## 4. Discovery Cutoffs and Limits

Initial expert witness disclosures by any party shall be served no later than **May 16, 2025.** Supplemental expert witness disclosures by any party shall be served no later than **June 20, 2025.** Such disclosures must be made pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(A) and (B) and shall include all information required thereunder. In addition, F.R.Civ.P. 26(b)(4) and F.R.Civ.P. 26(e) shall specifically apply to all discovery relating to expert witnesses and their opinions. Each expert witness must be prepared fully to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designations. Failure to comply with these

requirements will result in the imposition of appropriate sanctions, which may include the preclusion of testimony or other evidence offered through the expert witness. In particular, this Court will enforce preclusion of testimony or other evidence if F.R.Civ.P. 26(e) is not strictly complied with.

All non-expert discovery, including motions to compel, shall be completed no later than **April 11, 2025.** All expert discovery, including motions to compel, shall be completed no later than **August 8, 2025.** Compliance with these discovery cutoffs requires motions to compel be filed and heard sufficiently in advance of the cutoff so that the Court may grant effective relief within the allotted discovery time. A parties' failure to have a discovery dispute heard sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff may result in denial of the motion as untimely.

#### 5. Pretrial Motion Schedule

All pre-trial motions, both dispositive and non-dispositive (except motions to compel, addressed above), shall be served <u>and filed</u> on or before **August 29, 2025**. Non-dispositive motions are heard on Fridays at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable Barbara A. McAuliffe, United States Magistrate Judge, in Courtroom 8. Before scheduling such motions, the parties shall comply with Local Rule 230 or Local Rule 251.

Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from calendar. In addition to filing a joint statement electronically, a copy of the joint statement shall also be sent Judge McAuliffe's chambers by email to bamorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel for the parties are additionally required to conduct at least one **telephonic or in person conference** as part of their obligations to meet and confer in good faith to resolve their discovery dispute prior to seeking judicial intervention. The parties are further cautioned that boilerplate objections to written discovery will be summarily denied.

Upon stipulation of the parties, Judge McAuliffe will resolve discovery disputes by informal telephonic conference outside the formal procedures of the Local Rules and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure governing noticed motions to compel. The procedures for requesting an informal telephonic conference are set forth in Judge McAuliffe's Case Management Procedures located on the Court's website, <a href="http://www.caed.uscourts.gov">http://www.caed.uscourts.gov</a>. If the parties stipulate to an informal ruling on a discovery dispute that arises during a deposition, they may request an informal ruling during the deposition by

contacting Judge McAuliffe's Courtroom Deputy, Esther Valdez, by telephone at (559) 499-5788.

The parties are advised that unless prior leave of the Court is obtained, all moving and opposition briefs or legal memorandum in civil cases before Judge McAuliffe shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages. Reply briefs by the moving party shall not exceed ten (10) pages. These page limitations do not include exhibits. Briefs that exceed this page limitation, or are sought to be filed without leave, may not be considered by the Court.

### **Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication**

Prior to filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication the parties are ORDERED to meet, in person or by telephone, and confer to discuss the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; and 6) to arrive at a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party shall initiate the meeting and provide a draft of the joint statement of undisputed facts. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file a joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion, the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above and set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.

## Young Attorneys and Motions before Judge McAuliffe

Hearings on Motions before Judge McAuliffe are often vacated and submitted upon the record and briefs. See L.R. 230(g). However, the Court recognizes the value and importance of training young attorneys, and the Court encourages the parties to consider assigning the oral argument to an attorney with seven (7) years or less experience out of law school. If any party provides notification that a young attorney from at least one party will argue the motion, the Court will hold the hearing as scheduled. The parties shall so notify the Court by separately including a section after the conclusion

of the motion, opposition, or reply briefing requesting the hearing remain on calendar. If no party provides such notice, the hearing may be vacated without further notice.

#### **6.** Settlement Conference

A Settlement Conference has not been scheduled. The parties may contact Courtroom Deputy Esther Valdez at (559) 499-5788 to schedule a settlement conference. If a settlement conference is set, the parties are advised that unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the settlement conference with the parties and the person or persons having full authority to negotiate and settle the case, on any terms, at the conference.

#### 7. Pretrial Conference

This Court sets a pretrial conference for **February 9, 2026, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 4 (JLT)** and will be heard before United States District Judge Jennifer L. Thurston. The parties are directed to file a joint pretrial statement which complies with the requirements of this Court's Local Rule 281. In addition, the joint pretrial statement should include a brief factual summary and an agreed upon neutral statement of the case. An additional copy of the joint pretrial statement, carefully prepared and executed by all counsel, shall be electronically filed in CM/ECF and shall be e-mailed in Microsoft Word format to jltorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

The parties' attention is directed to this Court's Local Rules 281 and 282. The parties must identify all exhibits and witnesses, including those for rebuttal and/or impeachment purposes. No exhibit or witness other than those listed in the joint pretrial statement and included in the Pretrial Order may be used at trial. This Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules.

At the pretrial conference, the Court will set deadlines, among others, to file motions in limine, final witness lists, exhibits, jury instructions, objections, and other trial documents.

#### 8. Trial

A five to seven-day jury trial is set for **April 14, 2026, at 8:30 a.m.** in Courtroom 4 (JLT) before United States District Judge Jennifer L. Thurston.

#### 9. Effect of This Order

This order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine

at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate, attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested. The failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 5, 2024 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE