1	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP					
$_{2}$	ALEXANDER J. HARWIN, SB# 225254 E-Mail: Alexander.Harwin@lewisbrisbois.com					
	633 West 5 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 4000					
3	Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: 213.250.1800					
4	Facsimile: 213.250.7900					
5	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LI	.P				
6	SHANE SINGH, SB# 202733 E-Mail: Shane.Singh@lewisbrisbois.com					
7	GENNA PROMNICK-PAVLOV, SB# 320894 E-Mail: Genna.Promnick@lewisbrisbois.com					
8	2020 West El Camino Avenue, Suite 700 Sacramento, California 95833					
	Telephone: 916.564.5400					
9	Facsimile: 916.564.5444					
10	Attorneys for Defendants, MILL CREEK MANAGEMENT AND REAL					
11	ESTATE SALES, INC. and NASH ROCK					
12	SOLID, LLC					
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
14						
	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION					
15						
16	ELIAS FLORES, ALICE FLORES, and CYNTHIA FLORES,	Case No. 1:24-cv-00073-KES-SKO				
17	,	ORDER RE STIPULATION TO ELECT				
18	Plaintiffs,	REFERRAL OF ACTION TO VOLUNTARY DISPUTE RESOLUTION				
19	vs.	PROGRAM PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 271				
20	MILL CREEK MANAGEMENT AND					
	REAL ESTATE SALES, INC., and NASH ROCK SOLID, LLC,	(Doc 28)				
21	Defendants.					
22						
23	In light of the "Stipulation of the Parties to Elect Referral of Action to Voluntary Dispute					
24	Resolution Program (VDRP) Pursuant to Local Rule 271" (Doc. 28), the parties are hereby referred					
25	to the VDRP.					
26	Pursuant to Local Rule 271(i)(2), the parties also stipulated to a modification of the					
27	Scheduling Order (Doc. 14) to stay depositions and continue the discovery and motion deadlines.					
28	(Id.) The stipulation indicates that "[t]he parties do not wish for [the dates for the Pre-Trial					

ORDER RE STIPULATION TO ELECT REFERRAL OF ACTION TO VOLUNTARY DISPUTE RESOLUTION

PROGRAM PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 271

1	Conference and Trial] to be rescheduled." (Id. at 2.) The parties are advised, however, that the Court					
2	requires at least 16 weeks between the hearing on dispositive motions and the pretrial conference;					
3	the stipulation, if granted, would only allow for 3 weeks (and 5 days).					
4	Accordingly, the stipulation is GRANTED as to the parties' request to referral to VDRP and					
5	DENIED without prejudice as to their proposed modification of the Scheduling Order (Doc. 28.)					
6	The parties are ordered as follows:					
7	]	1.	This action is hereby referr	ed to the	VRDP;	
8	2	2. The Parties must complete the VDRP session by January 31, 2025;				
9	3	3.	The Neutral must file the o	onfirma	tion of the completion of the VDRP process by	
10			February 7, 2025; and			
11		4.	Any renewed stipulation s	eeking n	nodification of the Scheduling Order (Doc. 14)	
12			shall allow for at least 16 w	eeks bet	ween the hearing on dispositive motions and the	
13	pretrial conference; at least 8 weeks between the pretrial conference and trial; and no					
14			more than 90 days before	e trial t	o schedule a settlement conference with the	
15			undersigned.			
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
17						
18	Dated:	No	<u>vember 22, 2024</u>	<del>-</del>	<u>/s/ Sheila K. Oberto</u> JNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

