

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOHN SOTO, an individual and on behalf of  
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., a  
New York corporation; ERNESTO ACOSTA,  
an individual; and DOES 1 through 100,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 1:24-cv-00520-SKO

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO  
CONTINUE DEFENDANT’S  
RESPONSIVE PLEADING DEADLINE  
PENDING THE COURT’S RULING ON  
PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR REMAND**

1 Having considered the parties' stipulation to vacate Defendant Graybar Electric Company,  
2 Inc.'s responsive pleading deadline pending the Court's ruling on Plaintiff John Soto's anticipated  
3 motion for remand, and upon good cause shown,

4 THE COURT ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 5 1. The deadline for Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's complaint is STAYED;
- 6 2. Plaintiff shall file his motion for remand by May 31, 2024; and
- 7 3. Should the Court deny Plaintiff's motion for remand, within 14 days of issuing  
8 such an order, Plaintiff may file an amended complaint or, if no amended complaint is filed,  
9 Defendant shall have 28 days from the issuance of such order to file a responsive pleading to the  
10 original complaint.

11  
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: May 8, 2024

14 */s/ Sheila K. Oberto*  
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28