

1 existing deadline. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A). If made after the time has expired, a party must
2 also show excusable neglect. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(B). To the extent that Plaintiff is unable to
3 comply with a court-ordered deadline, he may move for an appropriate extension of time
4 explaining the reason needed for the extension, as appropriate. Indeed, Plaintiff previously
5 requested, and was granted, an extension of time to respond to a Court Order. (See Doc. Nos. 8,
6 9).

7 Plaintiff also requests the Court to “reconsider any court orders or rulings in this case until
8 it receives Plaintiff’s responses.” (Doc. No. 15). Plaintiff has timely responded to the Court’s
9 December 20, 2024 Order. (Doc. No. 14). At this time, there are no orders or rulings to
10 reconsider. Thus, Plaintiff’s motion for reconsideration is unnecessary.

11 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

- 12 1. Plaintiff’s motion for an extension of time (Doc. No. 13) is DENIED.
- 13 2. Plaintiff’s motion for reconsideration (Doc. No. 15) is DENIED as unnecessary.

14
15 Dated: March 10, 2025


HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE