



1 treatment, social contact, commissary, care packages, radio, reading material, table, television.,  
2 legal property, and other necessities. (*See generally* Doc. No. 4). In his Motion, which consists  
3 of a single page, Plaintiff asserts “there are at least 27 Plaintiffs that filed substantially the same  
4 claims in this Court and the class extends to approximately one thousand people.” (Doc. No. 12  
5 at 1).

6 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) governs whether a case can proceed as a class  
7 action. The prerequisites to maintenance of a class action are that (1) the class is so numerous  
8 that joinder of all members is impracticable, (2) there are common questions of law and fact, (3)  
9 the representative party’s claims or defenses are typical of the class claims or defenses, and (4)  
10 the representative party will fairly and adequately protect the class interests. Fed. R. Civ. P.  
11 23(a).

12 Plaintiff is a non-lawyer proceeding without counsel. It is well established that a  
13 layperson cannot ordinarily represent the interests of a class. *See McShane v. United States*, 366  
14 F.2d 286 (9th Cir. 1966); *C.E. Pope Equity Trust v. United States*, 818 F.2d 696, 697 (9th  
15 Cir.1987); *see also Russell v. United States*, 308 F.2d 78, 79 (9th Cir. 1962) (holding “a litigant  
16 appearing in propria persona has no authority to represent anyone other than himself”). Indeed,  
17 “[i]t is plain error to permit [an] imprisoned litigant who is unassisted by counsel to represent his  
18 fellow inmates in a class action.” *Wallace v. Smith*, 145 F. App’x 300, 302 (11th Cir. 2005) (*per*  
19 *curiam*) (quoting *Oxendine v. Williams*, 509 F.2d 1405, 1407 (4th Cir. 1975)). Therefore,  
20 Plaintiff’s Motion for class certification must be denied.

21 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

22 The Clerk of Court shall randomly assign this case to a district judge for purposes of these  
23 Findings and Recommendation.

24 It is further RECOMMENDED:

25 Plaintiff’s motion for class certification (Doc. No. 12) be DENIED.

#### 26 NOTICE TO PARTIES

27 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District  
28 Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 14 days

1 after being served with a copy of these Findings and Recommendations, a party may file written  
2 objections with the Court. *Id.*; Local Rule 304(b). The document should be captioned,  
3 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations” and shall not exceed **fifteen**  
4 **(15) pages**. The Court will not consider exhibits attached to the Objections. To the extent a party  
5 wishes to refer to any exhibit(s), the party should reference the exhibit in the record by its  
6 CM/ECF document and page number, when possible, or otherwise reference the exhibit with  
7 specificity. Any pages filed in excess of the fifteen (15) page limitation may be disregarded by  
8 the District Judge when reviewing these Findings and Recommendations under 28 U.S.C. §  
9 636(b)(1)(C). A party’s failure to file any objections within the specified time may result in the  
10 waiver of certain rights on appeal. *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014).

11  
12 Dated: March 10, 2025

  
HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE