

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AMBER S. HARRIS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
GALACTIC CO., LLC,  
Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-cv-01347-CDB

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED  
FOR PLAINTIFF’S FAILURE TO  
PROSECUTE THIS ACTION AND TO  
COMPLY WITH THE COURT’S ORDERS

ORDER CONTINUING THE  
MANDATORY SCHEDULING  
CONFERENCE

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO  
EFFECT SERVICE OF THIS ORDER AND  
TO FILE PROOF OF SERVICE

**FIVE-DAY DEADLINE**

Plaintiff Amber S. Harris (“Plaintiff”) initiated this action with the filing of a complaint on November 4, 2024. (Doc. 1). The same day, the Clerk of the Court issued summons for service upon Defendant Galactic Co., LLC, and the Court entered an order setting a mandatory scheduling conference for February 3, 2025. (Docs. 3-4). The Court’s order directed Plaintiff to “diligently pursue service of summons and complaint” and “promptly file proofs of service.” (Doc. 4 at 1). The order further advised Plaintiff that failure to diligently prosecute this action “may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants.” *Id.* To date, Plaintiff has not filed proofs of service nor any report setting forth an explanation for the

1 failure, and no Defendant has appeared in the action.

2 Local Rule 110 provides that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these  
3 Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all  
4 sanctions...within the inherent power of the Court.” The Court has the inherent power to control  
5 its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate, including  
6 dismissal of the action. *Bautista v. Los Angeles County*, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000).

7 In addition, Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides: “If a defendant  
8 is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court - on motion or on its own after  
9 notice to the plaintiff - must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order  
10 that service be made within a specified time.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Absent a showing of good  
11 cause, failure to comply with Rule 4(m) requires dismissal of any unserved defendant.

12 **Conclusion and Order**

13 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within five (5) days of entry of  
14 this order, Plaintiff SHALL show cause in writing why sanctions should not be imposed –  
15 including dismissal of any unserved Defendant or this action in its entirety – for Plaintiff’s  
16 failure to prosecute, failure to serve the summons and complaint in a timely manner, and failure  
17 to promptly file proof of service. Filing summons returned executed following entry of this  
18 order WILL NOT relieve Plaintiff of her obligation to respond to this order in writing.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the scheduling conference previously set for February  
20 3, 2025, is CONTINUED to March 20, 2025, at 10:00 a.m.

21 And IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this order on  
22 Defendant within five (5) days of entry of this order and promptly file proof of service thereof.

23 **Any failure by Plaintiff to timely respond to this order to show cause will result in**  
24 **the imposition of sanctions, including a recommendation to dismiss this action.**

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: January 29, 2025

27   
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28