I

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROBERT GONZALEZ SAENZ,	No. 2:24-cv-3145 SCR P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.		
14	R. ROQUE, et al.,	<u>ORDER</u>	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to		
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants.		
19	The alleged violations took place in Kings County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the		
20	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).		
21	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper		
22	division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the		
23	court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. In light of the		
24	potentially consequential screening process required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this court will not rule		
25	on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.		
26	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
27	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of		
28	California sitting in Fresno; and		
	1		

1	2. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be		
2	filed at:		
3		United States District Court Eastern District of California	
4		2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721	
5			
6	Dated: November 22	2, 2024	
7			
8			Jen Kindu
9			SEAN C. RIORDAN
10			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			2