1			
1	.0		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JOSE ESCOBEDO,	Case No. 1:24-cv-1457 JLT SKO	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DECLINING	
13	v.	SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION OVER PLAINTIFF'S STATE LAW CLAIMS	
14	EL RICONCITO MEXICAN GRILL, LLC, and FLIPSIDE CHRISTIAN CHURCH,	(Doc. 6)	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Jose Escobedo seeks to hold the defendants liable for violations of Title III of the		
18	Americans with Disabilities Act; California's Unruh Act; and Health and Safety Code §§ 19955,		
19	19959. (Doc. 1 at 5-9.) Following an order to show cause regarding jurisdiction (Doc. 4), the		
20	assigned magistrate judge issued Findings and Recommendations, recommending the Court		
21	decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims and dismiss the		
22	claims without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(4). (Doc. 6.)		
23	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff, <sup>1</sup> and informed him that		
24	any objections must be filed within 14 days of the date of service. (Doc. 6 at 7.) In addition, the		
25	Court informed Plaintiff that "failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the		
26	waiver of rights on appeal." (Id., citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir.		
27	2014).) Plaintiff did not file objections, and the time to do so has expired.		
28	<sup>1</sup> Defendants have not yet appeared in this action.		
		1	

1	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a <i>de novo</i> review of the case.		
2	Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations		
3	are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS:		
4	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on December 19, 2024 (Doc. 6) are		
5	ADOPTED in full.		
6	2. The Court <b>DECLINES</b> to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's	The Court <b>DECLINES</b> to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's	
7	claims arising under California's Unruh Act and Health & Safety Code.		
8	3. Plaintiff's claims for violations of the Unruh Act and Cal. Health & Safety Code	Plaintiff's claims for violations of the Unruh Act and Cal. Health & Safety Code	
9	§ 19955 and § 19959 are <b>DISMISSED</b> without prejudice.		
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	Dated: January 5, 2025		
13	$-\nu$		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		
	-		