

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAVARIS DAVIS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
J. DOERER, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No.: 1:24-cv-01498-SKO (PC)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING  
FAILURE TO PROVIDE A COMPLETED  
APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA  
PAUPERIS**

(Docs. 2 & 4)

Plaintiff Javaris Davis is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiff initiated this action by filing a complaint on December 9, 2024. (Doc. 1.) Plaintiff also filed an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis by a Prisoner. (Doc. 2.)

On December 11, 2024, the Court issued an “Order Directing Plaintiff To Provide A Complete Answer In His Application To Proceed In Forma Pauperis By A Prisoner.” (Doc. 4.) Plaintiff was directed to provide a complete response to Question 3(f) within 14 days of the date of service of the order. (*Id.* at 1-2.)

**II. DISCUSSION**

This Court’s Local Rules, corresponding with Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, provide that the “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions

1 authorized by statute or within the inherent power of the Court.” See Local Rule 110.

2 Here, Plaintiff has failed to comply with the Court’s December 11, 2024, order. He did not  
3 respond as directed within 14 days, plus time for mailing, and the time to do so has now passed.

4 **III. CONCLUSION AND ORDER**

5 Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** Plaintiff to show cause in writing, **within 14 days** of  
6 the date of service of this order, why sanctions should not be imposed for his failure to comply  
7 with the Court’s December 11, 2024, order. Alternatively, within that same time, Plaintiff may  
8 file a response to that order as directed on December 11, 2024.

9 **WARNING: A failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation**  
10 **that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, for Plaintiff’s failure to comply with Court**  
11 **orders and this Court’s Local Rules and failure to prosecute.**

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13  
14 Dated: **January 3, 2025**

*Is/ Sheila K. Oberto*  
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE