1	CARTER C. WHITE – 164149	
2	KING HALL CIVIL RIGHTS CLINIC U.C. Davis School of Law	
3	One Shields Avenue, Bldg. TB-30 Davis, California 95616-8821	
4	Telephone: (530) 752-5440 Facsimile: (530) 752-5788	
5	Email: ccwhite@ucdavis.edu	
6	MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891 GAY CROSTHWAIT GRUNFELD – 121944	
7	MICHAEL FREEDMAN – 262850 ALEXANDER GOURSE - 321631	
8	ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP 101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor	
9	San Francisco, California 94105-1738 Telephone: (415) 433-6830	
10	Facsimile: (415) 433-7104 Email: mbien@rbgg.com	
11	ggrunfeld@rbgg.com mfreedman@rbgg.com	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
13	UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA
15	SACRAMENTO D	IVISION
16		
17	DERRIL HEDRICK, DALE ROBINSON, KATHY LINDSEY, MARTIN C. CANADA,	Case No. 2:76-CV-00162-EFB
18	DARRY TYRONE PARKER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
19	Plaintiffs,	PRODUCTION OF MEDICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH
20	V.	RECORDS FOR CLASS MEMBERS
21	JAMES GRANT, as Sheriff of Yuba County;	Judge: Edmund F. Brennan
22	Lieutenant FRED J. ASBY, as Yuba County Jailer; JAMES PHARRIS, ROY LANDERMAN,	Trial Date: None Set
23	DOUG WALTZ, HAROLD J. "SAM" SPERBEK, JAMES MARTIN, as members of	
24	the YUBA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		
	[3725629.2]	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PRODUCTION OF MEDICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH RECORDS FOR CLASS MEMBERS	
		Dockets.Justia.cor

On January 30, 2019, an Amended Consent Decree ("ACD") was entered in this
 action related to specified conditions of confinement at the Yuba County Jail ("the Jail").
 See ECF No. 258.

The ACD, Article 15 provides that Class Counsel will monitor the conditions of the
Jail to evaluate compliance with the terms of the ACD.

6 Among the conditions to be monitored by Class Counsel are the nature and
7 timeliness of medical and mental health services provided to class members.

8 Pursuant to the ACD, Class Counsel is entitled to review records to assist them in
9 their responsibilities, including medical records.

Article 15 of the ACD also requires that on a quarterly basis the County produce to Class Counsel documents and records specified in Exhibit G to the ACD. These records include medical and mental health information. The parties have agreed to a HIPAAqualified protective order, *see* ECF No. 188, limiting the use of such records to the current litigation and requiring the return of or destruction of such records upon the termination of this matter.

16 Class counsel has requested that the County produce medical and mental health records for specific individual class members. Since the final approval of the ACD, 17 18 County has only released such records to Class Counsel if Class Counsel obtained a signed 19 authorization for those records from the person whose records were sought. Class Counsel has submitted numerous requests for medical/mental health records with valid 2021 authorizations for the release of such records. The County has produced those records. In cases where a class member has placed his or her medical condition at issue, often referred 22 23 to as an urgent medical request or emergent medical concern, the County has produced 24 some medical information to Class Counsel without an authorization.

The parties have met and conferred on the issue of production of class members'
 medical and mental health records in the absence of signed releases by the individual for
 whom records are sought. Class Counsel assert that Defendants' demands for signed
 releases are inhibiting their ability to monitor compliance with the ACD. Obtaining
 STIPLILATION AND LEROPOSED OR DER REGARDING PRODUCTION OF MEDICAL AND MENTAL

written waivers from class members at the Jail is burdensome and results in delays before
 Class Counsel are able to investigate potential violations of the ACD. In addition, some
 class members are no longer in Defendants' custody by the time Class Counsel become
 aware of potential violations of the ACD related to their care or need for care. Class
 Counsel have no reliable means of locating and contacting such class members to obtain
 waivers for the release of their medical records.

Defendants acknowledges that some courts have found that the need for monitoring
a correctional facility's compliance with the terms of a consent decree outweighs the
individual right to privacy of the class members. The authority cited acknowledges the
need for a balancing of interests prior to the production of such records. After such
weighing, the court may order defendants to produce class members' medical records and
mental health records without the need for a signed authorization. The cited cases are from
District courts without appellate review.

The parties have discussed the common-law evidentiary privilege set out in *Jaffee v Redmond*, 518 U.S. 1 (1996), and agree that specific findings shall be made indicating that the Court has considered that privilege and determined that it is outweighed by the need for production of documents for purposes of monitoring Defendants' compliance with the ACD, subject to the Stipulated Protective Order entered by the Court on February 7, 2017, ECF No. 188.

Therefore the parties agree that the matter shall be presented to the Court for
consideration of the stipulated order. Should the Court agree and sign the order,
Defendants will comply with production of documents consistent with this stipulated
order.

The parties agree that Class Counsel will provide a statement of good cause for each request for medical or mental health records. For purposes of this Stipulation, the term "good cause" shall mean that Class Counsel has articulated a reason for reviewing the records that is related to its monitoring of Defendants' compliance with the ACD. The parties will meet and confer in good faith for any request that Defendants believe is not 3 1 supported by good cause.

2	Further, the parties agree that the Court shall extend this order to apply to any third-		
3	party contractors with whom the County contracts to provide healthcare and mental health		
4	services to class members in the Yuba County Jail.		
5	Class Counsel may still obtain medical or mental health records for individual class		
6	members by presenting a signed authorization to Defendants that authorizes the release of		
7	such records to Class Counsel. Records produced by Defendants to Class Counsel		
8	pursuant to a signed authorization shall not be subject to the protective order in this case.		
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED		
10	DATED: April 27, 2021 ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP		
11	By: /s/ Michael Freedman		
12	Michael Freedman		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
14			
15	DATED: April 27, 2021 COUNTY OF YUBA		
16	By: /s/ Michael Ciccozzi		
17	Michael Ciccozzi		
18	County Counsel		
19	Attorneys for Defendants		
20			
21	FILER'S ATTESTATION		
22	I attest that I obtained concurrence in the filing of this document by all signatories, and that		
23	I have maintained records to support this concurrence.		
24			
25	DATED: April 27, 2021 /s/ Michael Freedman Michael Freedman		
26	Michael Fleedhan		
27			
28	(2725620.0)		
	^[3725629.2] 4 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PRODUCTION OF MEDICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH RECORDS FOR CLASS MEMBERS		

[PROPOSED] ORDER

1

The court has weighed the competing interests presented by the issue of producing
medical and mental health records of class members without the prior authorization of the
class member and the need to monitor compliance with the ACD in this case.

The Court finds that the need for prompt review of medical and mental health
records of individual class members, subject to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order
entered by the Court on February 7, 2017, outweighs class members' interests in
preventing disclosure of those records for purposes of the privileges and privacy rights
provided by federal and state law and the protections afforded by HIPAA.

10 Accordingly, having reviewed the above Stipulation of the parties, and good cause
11 appearing, it is ORDERED that:

12 Defendants and any third-party with whom Defendants contract to provide medical 13 or mental health care to class members in the Yuba County Jail, including but not limited to Wellpath or its affiliated entities, shall produce to Class Counsel medical and mental 14 15 health records for specific class members so long as Class Counsel articulates in its request for such records a statement of good cause and the time period covered by the request. For 16 17 purposes of this Order, the term "good cause" shall mean that Class Counsel has 18 articulated a reason for reviewing the records that is related to its monitoring of 19 Defendants' compliance with the Amended Consent Decree. Medical and mental health 20records produced to Class Counsel pursuant to this Order are subject to the Stipulated 21 Protective Order entered by the court February 7, 2017.

If a dispute arises as to the existence of good cause for the production of requested records the parties shall meet and confer to resolve such issues in accordance with this order. If the parties cannot resolve a dispute over whether good causes exists for the production of requested records, Plaintiffs may seek relief in this Court.

Nothing in this Order shall affect Class Counsel's ability to obtain medical or
 mental health records for individual class members by presenting a signed authorization to
 Defendants that authorizes the release of such records to Class Counsel. Medical and
 [3725629.2] 5
 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PRODUCTION OF MEDICAL AND MENTAL

1	mental health records produced by Defendants to Class Counsel in response to a signed	
2	authorization shall not be subject to the Stipulative Protective Order in this case.	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	DATED: May 17, 2021.	
5	AN ATT	
6	2 min F. Biernan	
7	EDMUND F. BRENNAN United States Magistrate Judge	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	[3725629.2]	
	6 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PRODUCTION OF MEDICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH RECORDS FOR CLASS MEMBERS	