1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CARTER C. WHITE – 164149 KING HALL CIVIL RIGHTS CLINIC U.C. Davis School of Law One Shields Avenue, Bldg. TB-30 Davis, California 95616-8821 Telephone: (530) 752-5440 Facsimile: (530) 752-5788 Email: ccwhite@ucdavis.edu MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891 GAY C. GRUNFELD – 121944 MICHAEL FREEDMAN – 262850 ALEXANDER GOURSE – 321631 ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP 101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor San Francisco, California 94105-1738 Telephone: (415) 433-6830 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104 Email: mbien@rbgg.com	JOHN PORT A PRO 350 U Sacrar Telepi Facsir Email MICH JOSE OFFIO COUN 915 8 Marys Telepi Facsir Email	: cfessenden@porterscott.com IAEL J. CICCOZZI – 134859 PH F. LARMOUR – 282496 CE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL NTY OF YUBA th Street, Suite 111 sville, California 95901-5273 hone: (530) 749-7565 mile: (530) 729-7513	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION			
16				
17	DERRIL HEDRICK, DALE ROBINSON,		Case No. 2:76-CV-00162-JAM-EFB	
18	KATHY LINDSEY, MARTIN C. CANADA DARRY TYRONE PARKER, individually a	nd	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
19	on behalf of all others similarly situated,		JOINT MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF	
20	Plaintiffs,		SECOND AMENDED CONSENT DECREE AND EXPEDITING	
21	V.		HEARING DATE	
22	JAMES GRANT, as Sheriff of Yuba County Lieutenant FRED J. ASBY, as Yuba County		Judge: Hon. Edmund F. Brennan Date: March 8, 2023	
23	Jailer; JAMES PHARRIS, ROY LANDERM DOUG WALTZ, HAROLD J. "SAM"		Time: 11:00 a.m. Crtrm.: 8, 13th Floor	
24	SPERBEK, JAMES MARTIN, as members of the YUBA COUNTY BOARD OF	of	Trial Date: None Set	
25	SUPERVISORS,			
26	Defendants.			
27				
28				

The parties' Joint Motion for Preliminary Approval of Second Amended Consent Decree and Request for Expedited Hearing ("Joint Motion") came on for hearing before this Court on March 8, 2023. The Court, having considered the pleadings on the Joint Motion, oral argument on the Joint Motion, and the record in this case, and good cause appearing, now finds, as follows:

- 1. The Court finds that the Second Amended Consent Decree ("SACD") falls within the range of possible approval and is sufficiently fair to warrant the dissemination of notice to the class members apprising them of the SACD.
- 2. The proposed SACD is the product of arm's-length, serious, informed and non-collusive negotiations between experienced and knowledgeable counsel who have actively prosecuted and defended this litigation.
- 3. The Court has already certified a class and appointed class counsel and will likely be able to approve the proposed SACD under Rule 23(e)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. The SACD is granted preliminary approval and incorporated herein by this reference, and has the full force and effect of an order of the Court.
- 5. A hearing is appropriate to consider whether this Court should grant final approval to the SACD, and to allow adequate time for the members of the class, or their counsel, to support or oppose the SACD.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 6. The parties' request to expedite the hearing on this Joint Motion for Preliminary Approval of Second Amended Consent Decree for March 8, 2023, at 10:00 a.m. is granted.
- 7. The Notice of Second Amended Consent Decree ("Notice"), attached hereto, is approved. The Notice constitutes valid, due, and sufficient notice to the class, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and complies fully with the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The proposed forms of Notice apprise class members in a fair and neutral way of the existence of the settlement

with the Defendants and their rights with respect to the settlement.

- 8. Within three (3) days of this Order, Defendants must post the Notice (1) on the County's official website (www.co.yuba.ca.us/); and (2) in all Jail facilities operated by Defendants, including, but not limited to, in all dayrooms, all medical clinic spaces, the visiting area, and the intake area in the Yuba County Jail. Copies of the SACD shall be available in the Jail library and made available to class members upon request.
- 9. Dissemination of the Notice as provided above is hereby authorized and approved, and satisfies the notice requirement of Rule 23(e), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Constitution of the United States, due process and any other applicable rule(s) of this Court. No later than ten (10) days after this Order, Defendants must file and serve on Plaintiffs' counsel an affidavit affirming that they published notice as required in the Court's order.
- 10. Any member of the class may write to the Court about whether the settlement is fair. The Court will consider written communications when deciding whether to approve the settlement. Comments must include at the top of the first page the case name, *Hedrick v. Grant*, E.D. Cal. No. 2:76-cv-00162-JAM-EFB. Comments must be postmarked no later than thirty-six (36) days after the issuance of this Order, and sent to the following address:

Clerk of the Court United States District Court Eastern District of California 501 I Street Sacramento, CA 9581

11. A final approval hearing pursuant to Rule 23(e), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, will be in Courtroom 8 of the undersigned on May 17, 2023 at 11:00 a.m. in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, to determine whether the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, and whether it should be finally approved by the Court. The hearing may be continued from time to time without further notice.

1	12. A joint memorandum of points and authorities in support of final approval		
2	shall be filed on or before April 19, 2023.		
3			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5			
6	DATED: March 8, 2023		
7	EĎMUND F. BŘENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			