8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
WALTER PEDERSEN, et al.,	No. 2: 89-cv-1659 KJN P
Plaintiff,	
v.	<u>ORDER</u>
COUNTY OF PLUMAS, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Plaintiffs in this action challenge the conditions at the Plumas County Jail. On April 20,	
18 1992, a Consent Decree was entered. On March 18, 2013, the parties amended the Consent	
Decree.	
On March 27, 2015, plaintiffs' counsel filed a request for a status conference. (ECF No.	
1 49.) Plaintiffs' counsel alleges that there has been insufficient progress regarding the rate of	
compliance with the Consent Decree.	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within fourteen days of the date of this order, defendants	
shall file a response to plaintiffs' request for a status conference, setting forth the status of	
compliance with the Consent Decree.	
Dated: April 16, 2015	
D-JICEO	Ferdal P. Newman
rea1059.0rd	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	FOR THE EASTERN WALTER PEDERSEN, et al., Plaintiff, v. COUNTY OF PLUMAS, et al., Defendants. Plaintiffs in this action challenge the of the second