1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,
11	Plaintiffs, No. CIV S-90-0520 LKK JFM P
12	VS.
13	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, <u>ORDER</u> et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	/
16	On February 2, 2009, the court issued an order to show cause
17	as to why defendants should not be required to operate, on a
18	temporary basis, the mental health crisis beds at CIM-GACH as
19	presently operated and at current staffing levels,
20	notwithstanding the San Francisco Superior Court decision in <u>Budd</u>
21	\underline{v} . Cambra. Plaintiffs and defendants have responded with no
22	objection to the facility's continued operation.
23	The court finds that the urgent need by class members for
24	mental health crisis beds persists with such severity that state
25	licensing requirements must temporarily give way to remedy the
26	Eighth Amendment violations that remain unsolved in this action.
	1

See also Order, May 1, 2006. Accordingly, the court ORDERS that defendants operate, on an emergency basis, the mental health crisis beds at CIM-GACH as presently operated and at current staffing levels until further order of this court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 17, 2009.

LAWRENCE K. KARLTON

SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT