2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP MICHAEL W. BIEN, Bar No. 96891 ERNEST GALVAN, Bar No. 196065 JANE E. KAHN, Bar No. 112239 AMY WHELAN, Bar No. 215675 315 Montgomery Street, 10th Floor San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-6830 BINGHAM, McCUTCHEN, LLP WARREN E. GEORGE, Bar No. 53588 Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY – EMPLOYMENT LAW CENTER CLAUDIA CENTER, Bar No. 158255 600 Harrison Street, Suite 120 San Francisco, CA 94107 Telephone: (415) 864-8848	PRISON LAW OFFICE DONALD SPECTER, Bar No. 83925 STEVEN FAMA, Bar No. 99641 1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 280-2621
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
13		
14	IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
15	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
	DALDU COLEMAN et al	Case No. CIVI S 00 520 L KK JEM
18	RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,	Case No. CIV S 90-520 LKK-JFM
19 20	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL
20	V.	PAYMENT OF REASONABLE
21 22	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,	PARALEGAL FEES FOR WORK PERFORMED DURING 2009 UNTIL THE RESOLUTION OF PEREZ V. CATE APPEAL NO. 09-17185
22	Defendants.	
23 24		
24 25		
23		
26		
26 27		
27		

STIPULATION TO STAY PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT OF REASONABLE PARALEGAL FEES FOR WORK PERFORMED DURING 2009 UNTIL THE RESOLUTION OF PEREZ V. CATE APPEAL NO. 09-17185

Plaintiffs and Defendants STIPULATE as follows:

1. Pursuant to the *Coleman* Periodic Fees Order, "Plaintiffs will file a yearly motion to compel payment of disputed items, if necessary, not later than sixty (60) days after the parties meet and confer with respect to the statement covering the fourth quarter of each year." March 19, 1996 Stipulation and Order for Periodic Collection of Attorneys' Fees and Costs (attached as Appendix A). The parties have already stipulated to stay all attorneys' fees, costs and expense matters related to the Three-Judge Panel and related appeals until October 1, 2010. *Coleman* Docket 3801. The only remaining, disputed issues from 2009 are: (1) Defendants' refusal to pay for any work performed by staff who are not "paralegals" as defined by California Business & Professions Code § 6450, and; (2) Defendants' refusal to pay more than \$135 per hour or \$82.50 per hour for paralegal work on the case.

2. Plaintiffs' counsel will file a motion to compel regarding the first issue identified
above. By this stipulation, however, the parties agree to extend the briefing and argument
schedule for Plaintiffs' motion to compel as follows: Plaintiffs' motion will be due by July 5,
2010; Defendants' opposition to the motion will be due by August 2, 2010; Plaintiffs' reply brief
will be due by August 16, 2010, and the hearing date for the motion will be set for August 23,
2010.

3. With respect to the second issue, Defendants' refusal to pay more than \$135 per
 hour or \$82.50 per hour for paralegal work, the parties agree to stay Plaintiffs' motion on this
 issue pending final resolution of *Perez, et al. v. Cate, et al.*, Court of Appeals Docket No. 09 17185, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, including any petitions for panel or
 en banc rehearing, or final resolution in the United States Supreme Court (hereinafter "*Perez* appeal"). In so doing, Plaintiffs do not waive and will enforce their right to interest in
 accordance with the provisions set forth in the March 19, 1996 *Coleman* Periodic Fees order.

28

Stipulation And Order To Stay Plaintiffs' Motion To Compel Payment Of Reasonable Paralegal Fees For Work Performed During 2009 Until The Resolution Of Perez V. Cate Appeal No. 09-17185 - Case No. Civ S 90-520 LKK-JFM PDF created with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.com

Nothing in this Stipulation may be deemed a waiver or concession of any party's legal arguments
 regarding this issue.

4. If the requested stay is granted, the parties will meet and confer regarding the
paralegal rate issue within 10 days after the mandate issues in the *Perez* appeal. If the parties are
still unable to resolve this issue, Plaintiffs will file a motion to compel within 60 days of the
completion of the meet and confer.

7	Dated: May 20, 2010	Respectfully submitted,			
8		ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP			
9		By: <u>/s/ Amy Whelan</u> Amy Whelan			
10		Attorney for <i>Coleman</i> Plaintiffs			
11	Dated: May 21, 2010	Office of the Attorney General			
12		By:/s/ Debbie Vorous Debbie Vorous, Deputy Attorney General Attorney for <i>Coleman</i> Defendants			
13		Attorney for <i>Coleman</i> Defendants			
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28		2			
	Stipulation And Order To Stay Plaintiffs' Motion To Con During 2009 Until The Resolution Of Perez V. Cate	npel Payment Of Reasonable Paralegal Fees For Work Performed			
PDF crea	During 2009 Until The Resolution Of Perez V. Cate Appeal No. 09-17185 - Case No. Čiv S 90-520 LKK-JFM DF created with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.com				

ORDER STAYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT OF PARALEGAL RATES UNTIL THE RESOLUTION OF PEREZ V. CATE APPEAL NO. 09-17185

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, this Court stays Plaintiffs' motion to compel payment of more than \$135 per hour or \$82.50 per hour for paralegal work performed during 2009 pending final resolution of *Perez, et al. v. Cate, et al.*, Court of Appeals Docket No. 09-17185, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, including any petitions for panel or en banc rehearing or final resolution in the United States Supreme Court. Plaintiffs have preserved and do not waive their right to claim interest in accordance with the provisions set forth in the March 19, 1996 *Coleman* Periodic Fees order. The parties shall meet and confer regarding the paralegal rate issue within 10 days after the mandate issues in the *Perez* appeal. If the parties are still unable to resolve this issue, Plaintiffs shall file a motion to compel within 60 days of the completion of the meet and confer.

With respect to Plaintiffs' yearly motion to compel payment for work performed by staff who are not "paralegals" as defined by California Business & Professions Code § 6450, this Court grants the parties' stipulation setting a briefing schedule. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' motion will be due by July 5, 2010; Defendants' opposition to the motion will be due by August 2, 2010; Plaintiffs' reply brief will be due by August 16, 2010, and the hearing date for the motion will be set for August 23, 2010.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: May 26, 2010

Κ.

LÀWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

3

27

28