PRISON LAW OFFICE ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP MICHAEL W. BIEN, Bar No. 96891 DONALD SPECTER, Bar No. 83925 ERNEST GALVAN, Bar No. 196065 STEVEN FAMA, Bar No. 99641 JANE E. KAHN, Bar No. 112239 1917 Fifth Street 3 AMY WHELAN, Bar No. 215675 Berkeley, California 94710-1916 315 Montgomery Street, 10th Floor Telephone: (510) 280-2621 4 San Francisco, California 94104-1823 Telephone: (415) 433-6830 5 **K&L GATES LLP** BINGHAM, McCUTCHEN, LLP 6 JEFFREY L. BORNSTEIN, Bar No. 99358 WARREN E. GEORGE, Bar No. 53588 EDWARD P. SANGSTER, Bar No. 121041 Three Embarcadero Center RAYMOND E. LOUGHREY, Bar No. 194363 San Francisco, California 94111-4067 8 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 San Francisco, California 94111-5994 Telephone: (415) 882-8200 10 THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY -EMPLOYMENT LAW CENTER 11 CLAUDIA CENTER, Bar No. 158255 600 Harrison Street, Suite 120 12 San Francisco, California 94107-1389 Telephone: (415) 864-8848 13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 14 15 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 16 17 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18 RALPH COLEMAN, et al., Case No. CIV S 90-520 LKK-JFM 19 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO PAY Plaintiffs. PLAINTIFFS INTERIM FEES AND TO 20 STAY PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO v. 21 COMPEL PAYMENT OF REASONABLE FEES FOR WORK PERFORMED BY ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al., 22 NONPARALEGALS DURING 2009 UNTIL THE RESOLUTION OF PEREZ V. CATE. 23 Defendants. **APPEAL 09-17185** 24 25 26 27 28

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION TO PAY PLAINTIFFS INTERIM FEES AND TO STAY PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT OF REASONABLE FEES FOR WORK PERFORMED BY NONPARALEGALS DURING 2009 UNTIL THE RESOLUTION OF PEREZ V. CATE, APPEAL 09-17185

Plaintiffs and Defendants STIPULATE as follows:

- 1. Pursuant to the *Coleman* Periodic Fees Order, "Plaintiffs will file a yearly motion to compel payment of disputed items, if necessary, not later than sixty (60) days after the parties meet and confer with respect to the statement covering the fourth quarter of each year." March 19, 1996 Stipulation and Order for Periodic Collection of Attorneys' Fees and Costs (attached as Appendix A). The parties have already stipulated to stay all attorneys' fees, costs and expense matters related to the Three-Judge Panel and related appeals until October 1, 2010. Coleman Docket 3801. The only remaining, disputed issues from 2009 are: (1) Defendants' refusal to pay for any work performed by staff who are not "paralegals" as defined by California Business & Professions Code § 6450, and; (2) Defendants' refusal to pay more than \$135 per hour or \$82.50 per hour for paralegal work on the case.
- 2. On May 26, 2010, this Court issued an order adopting the parties' stipulation to stay plaintiffs' motion to compel regarding the rate to be paid to paralegals pending final resolution of *Perez, et al. v. Cate, et al.*, Court of Appeals Docket No. 09-17185, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, including any petitions for panel or en banc rehearing, or final resolution in the United States Supreme Court (hereinafter "Perez appeal"). Coleman Docket 3851. The parties agreed, however, that plaintiffs would move to compel based on defendants' refusal to pay any fees for work performed by nonparalegal litigation assistants. *Id.*
- 3. Plaintiffs now withdraw their motion to compel payment for work performed by nonparalegal litigation assistants (Coleman Docket Nos. 3871-3877), as defendants agree to pay these fees at a reduced rate. The parties agree to stay plaintiffs' motion regarding the final rate defendants will pay nonparalegal litigation assistants pending final resolution of the *Perez* appeal, including any petitions for panel or en banc rehearing, or final resolution in the United States Supreme Court.
 - In the interim, defendants agree to pay nonparalegal litigation assistant fees at the 4.

hourly rate of \$82.50. For 2009, defendants will pay plaintiffs, within 30 days of the passage of the California state budget for 2010/2011, \$8,728.50 for 105.8 hours that defendants previously refused to compensate. In so doing, plaintiffs do not waive and will enforce their right to interest in accordance with the provisions set forth in the *Coleman* Periodic Fees order. Nothing in this Stipulation may be deemed a waiver or concession of any party's legal arguments regarding this issue.

- 5. If the Ninth Circuit rules in the *Perez* appeal that the Prison Litigation Reform Act governs paralegal fees, then the PLRA also governs nonparalegal litigation assistant fees.
- 6. If the requested stay is granted, the parties will meet and confer regarding the nonparalegal rate issue, as well as the paralegal rate issue, within 10 days after the mandate issues in the *Perez* appeal. If the parties are still unable to resolve this issue, plaintiffs will re-file a motion to compel within 60 days of the completion of the meet and confer.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: August 30, 2010

28

Respectfully submitted,

ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP

By: /s/ Amy Whelan

Amv Whelan Attorney for Coleman Plaintiffs

Office of the Attorney General

By:

Debbie Vorous, Deputy Attorney General Attorney for Coleman Defendants

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 1, 2010

TED STATES DISTRICT COURT