

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

NO. CIV. S-90-520 LKK/JFM (PC)

v.

EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et al.,

O R D E R

Defendants.

_____ /

The court has noted three minor incorrect phrasings in the April 5, 2013 order (ECF No. 4539), and they are hereby corrected as follows:

1. On page 20, footnote 19, it should read: In addition, Vorous does not explain how these matters came "to" her knowledge such that she can now testify about them, since she says that she did not observe them.
2. On page 34, line 23: the repetition in brackets [] should be eliminated.
3. On page 42, line 25½, it should read: While this court is refraining from making credibility assessments in

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

connection **"with"** this alternative disposition ...

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 8, 2013.



LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
SENIOR JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT