1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RALPH COLEMAN, et al., No. CIV. S-90-520 LKK/DAD (PC) 12 Plaintiffs, 13 v. ORDER 14 EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiffs have filed a motion for an order governing the 18 handling of video exhibits offered into evidence at the 19 evidentiary hearing in this matter which commenced on October 1, 20 2013 (ECF No. 4877). After consideration of the arguments of 21 counsel and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiffs' October 20, 2013 motion (ECF No. 4877) is 22 23 granted in part. 24 As soon as practicable defendants shall lodge with the 25 court and serve on plaintiffs' counsel redacted copies of the six 26 videos received into evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibits 3, 5, 11, 27 9, 13 and 19. Plaintiffs shall bear the cost of the copies 28 provided to them. The copies provided to plaintiffs' counsel 1

shall be for use in this litigation only and shall not be shared with any member of the plaintiff class. Plaintiffs shall maintain all copies of the redacted videos in their possession pursuant to the terms of the Modified Protective Order filed in this action on January 12, 2007 and paragraph 2(a) of this court's September 26, 2013 order (ECF No. 4833) and shall return all copies to defendants at the conclusion of any appellate proceedings that may follow this court's resolution of the use of force issues raised by the motion at bar.

- 3. Defendants shall forthwith lodge under seal unredacted copies of the same videos. Plaintiffs' motion for copies of the unredacted videos is denied.
- 4. The unredacted videos shall be maintained under seal until further order of the court.
- 5. Nothing in paragraph 2(a) of this court's September 26, 2013 order (ECF No. 4833) shall preclude the Clerk of the Court from permitting review and/or copying of redacted videos in a manner consistent with Clerk's office policy for access to and copying of matters in the public record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 22, 2013.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT