

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et al.,  
Defendants.

No. CIV. S-90-520 LKK/DAD (PC)

**ORDER**

By order filed April 10, 2014 (ECF No. 5131), defendants were ordered to work under the guidance of the Special Master to, inter alia, "develop a protocol for administrative segregation decisions, including, as appropriate, a plan for alternative housing, that will preclude placement of any Coleman class member in existing administrative segregation units when clinical information demonstrates substantial risk of exacerbation of mental illness, decompensation, or suicide from such placement." Order filed April 10, 2014 (ECF No. 5131) at 73. By the same order, defendants were prohibiting from housing any class member at any segregated housing unit (SHU) in California's prison system "unless that class member's treating clinician certifies

1 that (1) the behavior leading the SHU assignment was not the  
2 product of mental illness and the inmate's mental illness did not  
3 preclude the inmate from conforming his or her conduct to the  
4 relevant institutional requirements; (2) the inmate's mental  
5 illness can be safely and adequately managed in the SHU to which  
6 the inmate will be assigned for the entire length of the SHU  
7 term; and (3) the inmate does not face a substantial risk of  
8 exacerbation of his mental illness or decompensation as a result  
9 of confinement in a SHU." Id. at 74. In addition, defendants  
10 were prohibited from returning any class member "to any SHU unit  
11 if said inmate has at any time following placement in a SHU  
12 required a higher level of mental health care." Id. By order  
13 filed May 13, 2014, the time for developing the protocol for  
14 administrative segregation decisions was extended to August 1,  
15 2014. See Order filed May 13, 2014 (ECF No. 5150) at 2. The  
16 deadline for compliance with all of the foregoing provisions of  
17 the April 10, 2014 order was subsequently extended to August 15,  
18 2014 and then to August 29, 2014. Orders filed August 11, 2014  
19 (ECF No. 5195) and August 26, 2014 (ECF No. 5207).

20 On August 29, 2014, defendants filed plans and policies  
21 responsive to the requirements of the April 10, 2014 order  
22 described in the preceding paragraph. In particular, defendants  
23 have filed a plan for creation of "specialty housing units for  
24 housing mentally ill inmates who are removed from the general  
25 population for disciplinary reasons" that will "provide inmates  
26 with additional out-of-cell activities and increased mental  
27 health treatment. Ex. A to Defs. Resp. (ECF No. 5211-1) at 2.  
28 Defendants are also undertaking a "case-by-case review" of class

1 members with "lengthy segregation terms in an attempt to decrease  
2 overall lengths of stay for inmates in segregated environments  
3 when it is determined that they can be safely returned to a  
4 general population setting." Id. Finally, defendants have  
5 established a policy requiring clinical case conferences at  
6 discharge for all inmates admitted from a SHU to a Department of  
7 State Hospitals (DSH) or California Department of Corrections and  
8 Rehabilitation (CDCR) inpatient mental health program. Ex. 3 to  
9 Ex. A to Defs. Resp. (ECF No. 5211-4) at 2. Among other relevant  
10 provisions, the policy prohibits discharge of any inmate-patient  
11 from inpatient mental health care to a SHU. Id.

12 In order to implement the plans tendered to the court,  
13 defendants request discharge of this court's October 10, 2002  
14 order prohibiting defendants from housing class members in  
15 Standalone Administrative Segregation Units without court  
16 approval. Defendants seek discharge of this order because they  
17 intend to use the Standalone units to create the new Correctional  
18 Clinical Case Management Short Term Restricted Housing (CCCMS-  
19 STRH) program created to comply with the April 10, 2014 order.  
20 Good cause appearing, this request will be granted.

21 Defendants also request modification of the certification  
22 requirement of paragraph 2e of the April 10, 2014 order to  
23 substitute their new CCCMS-Long Term Restricted Housing (CCCMS-  
24 LTRH) plan in place of the certification requirement. Good cause  
25 appearing, this request will also be granted.

26 The court has reviewed defendants' report and the  
27 accompanying plans and policies, which now complete compliance  
28 with all of the requirements of the court's April 10, 2014

1 order.<sup>1</sup> Once again, the court commends the parties and the  
2 Special Master and his team for the substantial effort that  
3 resulted in the materials tendered to the court. The court  
4 agrees with defendants that the policies and procedures satisfy  
5 the requirements of the April 10, 2014 order. Accordingly, the  
6 plans and policies will be approved. Defendants will be directed  
7 to implement the plans and policies forthwith consistent with the  
8 representations in their report. Said implementation shall be  
9 monitored by the Special Master in accordance with his monitoring  
10 and reporting duties in this action.

11 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

12 1. The plans and policies filed by defendant on August 29,  
13 2014 are approved.

14 2. This court's October 10, 2002 order (ECF No. 1440) is  
15 discharged.

16 3. The court's April 10, 2014 order (ECF No. 5131) is  
17 modified as follows: The certification requirement of paragraph  
18 2e of the April 10, 2014 order is replaced by the CDCR's CCCMS-  
19 Long Term Restricted Housing Unit plan approved by this order.

20 4. Defendants shall forthwith, under the guidance of the  
21 Special Master, implement the plans and policies approved by this  
22 order consistent with the representations in the report that  
23 accompanies the plans and policies.

24  
25  
26  
27 

---

<sup>1</sup> The other requirements of the April 10, 2014 order were satisfied by plans  
28 and policies filed by defendants on August 1, 2014 (ECF No. 5190) and approved  
by the court by order filed August 11, 2014 (ECF No. 5196).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

5. Implementation of the plans and policies approved by this order shall be monitored by the Special Master in accordance with his monitoring and reporting duties in this action.

DATED: August 29, 2014.

  
LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
SENIOR JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT