26

27

28

1 2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS 3 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA AND THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT COMPOSED OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO SECTION 2284, TITLE 28 UNITED STATES CODE 6 7 8 RALPH COLEMAN, et al., 9 Plaintiffs. NO. 2:90-cv-0520 KJM DAD (PC) 10 THREE-JUDGE COURT 11 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., et al., 12 Defendants. 13 MARCIANO PLATA, et al., NO. C01-1351 TEH 14 Plaintiffs. THREE-JUDGE COURT 15 ORDER GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR v. 16 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., et al., FURTHER ENFORCEME 17 ORDER Defendants. 18 19 20 Having carefully reviewed the parties' arguments, as well as this Court's order for 21 defendants to "immediately implement" specific population reduction measures, Feb. 10, 2014 Order at 3 (ECF No. 2766/5060), this Court now GRANTS IN PART Plaintiffs' 22 motion for an order for further enforcement (ECF No. 2812/5220). Plaintiffs asked that 23 24 defendants be ordered to: (1) implement 2-for-1 credits for minimum custody inmates who 25 are ineligible for fire camps; (2) grant 33.3% credits to all non-violent second strikers,

<sup>1</sup>All filings in this Three-Judge Court are included in the individual docket sheets of both *Plata v. Brown*, No. C01-1351 TEH (N.D. Cal.), and *Coleman v. Brown*, No. 2:90-cv-0520-KJM DAD (PC) (E.D. Cal.). This Court includes the docket number of *Plata* first, then *Coleman*.

2 violent second-strikers by January 1, 2015. 3 The Court now GRANTS the third part of plaintiffs' motion. IT IS HEREBY 4 ORDERED that defendants shall, on or before January 1, 2015, complete creation and 5 commence operation of "a new parole determination process through which non-violent 6 second-strikers will be eligible for parole consideration by the Board of Parole Hearings once 7 they have served 50% of their sentence." Feb. 10, 2014 Order at 3. The record contains no 8 evidence that defendants cannot implement the required parole process by that date, eleven 9 months after they agreed to do so "promptly," Defs.' Jan. 23, 2014 Proposed Order at 2 (ECF 10 No. 2755/5023) and were ordered to so do "immediately," Feb. 10, 2014 Order at 3. 11 Defendants shall file a report describing the new parole process, including an estimate of the 12 number of inmates who will be affected, on or before **December 1, 2014**. 13 The Compliance Officer shall continue conferring with the parties regarding plaintiffs' first two requests, which remain under submission. The parties shall file a 14 15 // 16 // 17 // 18 // 19 // 20 // 21 // 22 // 23 // 24 // 25 // 26 //

including those with a prior sex offense; and (3) implement new parole procedures for non-

1

27

28

1	stipulation and proposed order if they are able to reach agreement. If they cannot, then they	
2	shall file a joint statement narrowing their disputes as much as possible.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		1 M. 1 A-
6	Dated: 11/14/14	STEDIEN DE NILADOT
7		UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
8		WINTH CIRCUIT COOKT OF ALTEALS
9		71 1.111
10	Dated: 11/14/14	THELTON E. HENDERSON
11		SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12		
13		
14	Dated: 11/14/14	Muller
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17		EAS/TERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		