

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:90-cv-0520 KJM DB P

JASPER F. WILSON,
Plaintiff,
v.
JEFFREY BEARD, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:15-cv-1424 DAD BAM (PC)

ORDER

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these cases are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). The actions involve the same property, transaction or event and similar questions of fact and law. Accordingly, assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial saving of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

1 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the
2 result that the actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected.
3 Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and
4 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

5 Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the action denominated as *Wilson v. Beard, et al.*, Case
6 No. 1:15-cv-1424 DAD BAM (PC), is reassigned to the undersigned and to Magistrate Judge
7 Deborah Barnes for all further proceedings, and any dates currently set in the reassigned case
8 only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case
9 shall be shown as *Wilson v. Beard*, Case No. 1:15-cv-1424 KJM DB P.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in
11 the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment. Any and all dates currently set
12 before any judge to whom *Wilson* is currently assigned only are hereby vacated.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 DATED: October 23, 2018.

15
16 
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28