<ul> <li>XAVIER BECERRA         Attorney General of California</li> <li>MONICA N. ANDERSON         Senior Assistant Attorney General         ADRIANO HRVATIN         Supervising Deputy Attorneys General         ELISE OWENS THORN, State Bar No. 145931</li> </ul>					
MONICA N. ANDERSON Senior Assistant Attorney General ADRIANO HRVATIN Supervising Deputy Attorneys General					
3 ADRIANO HRVATIN Supervising Deputy Attorneys General					
ELISE OWENS THORN, State Bar No. 145931					
TYLER V. HEATH, State Bar No. 271478 ROBERT W. HENKELS, State Bar No. 255410 Deputy Attorneys General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7318 Fax: (916) 324-5205 E-mail: Elise.Thorn@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants					
			IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
			FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
			SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
			SACRAMENTO DI VISION		
14					
15 RALPH COLEMAN, et al., Case No. 2:90-cv-00520 KJM-DB (PC)					
Plaintiffs, STIPULATION AND ORDER MODIFYING THE DECEMBER 11,	1995				
v. ORDER OF REFERENCE [DKT NO	640]				
GAVIN NEWSOM., et al.,  Judge: The Honorable Kimberly	J.				
19 Mueller Defendants.					
20					
21					
On July 3, 2019, the Court issued its order approving the 2018 Program Guide Revis					
23 (ECF No. 6211.) Paragraph 4 of the July 3 order requires "[w]ithin twenty-one days from					
date of this order, the parties shall meet and confer and submit to the court a proposed stipu					
and order for modification of the ten-day objection period in the Order of Reference to add	a				
provision governing the objection period for reports from the Special Master that are not					
circulated to the parties for review and comment prior to filing." ( <i>Id.</i> at 19.)					
28   [3414451.2]					

The 1995 Order of Reference bestowed upon the Special Master the duty to "make interim reports to the court on the progress of the remedial plan" and to "prepare and file with the court periodic reports assessing defendants' compliance with such remedial plan as the court may order." (Dkt. No. 640 at 4.) The Order of Reference imposed, as an additional condition on the filing of the compliance reports, that the Special Master serve the parties with a draft of each compliance report "to afford counsel a reasonable time within which to submit to the special master specific, written objections." (*Id.*) Over the course of this case, the Special Master has regularly provided the parties with a minimum of thirty days in which to submit objections to the draft compliance reports. The Order of Reference further provides that any compliance report "shall be adopted as the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the court, unless, within ten days after being served with the filing of the report, either side moves to reject or modify the report." (*Id.*)

The Order of Reference is silent as to the deadline to respond to reports filed by the Special Master that are not circulated to the parties for review and comment prior to filing. It is thus unclear whether the 10-day deadline contained in Section C of the Order of Reference or the twenty-one day deadline provided by Rule 53 (f) (2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for parties to file objections to, or to move to modify or adopt, a report of a Special Master governs.

The parties have met and conferred and agree that the Court should set as the deadline for the parties to respond to reports filed by the Special Master that are not served on the parties before they are filed, at thirty days from the date of service of the filed report.

Accordingly, the parties jointly request that the Court modify the Order of Reference to expressly provide that, for any report filed by the Special Master that has not been circulated to the parties for review and comment prior to filing, the parties will have thirty days from the date of service to file objections to, or move to modify, reject, or adopt, such a report. No other aspect of the Order of Reference is herein modified.

///

27 ///

1	The Special Master has reviewed and approved this stipulation and proposed order as	
2	required by the Court's July 3, 2019 Order. (ECF No. 6211 at 19.)	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
4	Dated: July 24, 2019	XAVIER BECERRA
5		Attorney General of California ADRIANO HRVATIN Supervising Deputy Attorney General
6		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
7		/s/ ELISE OWENS THORN Elise Owens Thorn
8		Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for Defendants
9		Miorneys for Defendants
10	Dated: July 24, 2019	/s/ Lisa Ells
11	Duted. July 21, 2017	Lisa Ells Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12		Thomeys for I tunings
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	Dated: July 29, 2019	100 000
15		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		