

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:90-CV-00520-KJM-DB

**STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR
RELIEF FROM SEPTEMBER 13, 2019
EVIDENTIARY HEARING
DEADLINES**

Judge: Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller

On June 21, 2019, the parties jointly proposed steps they deemed necessary to prepare for the evidentiary hearing scheduled for September 13, 2019. (ECF No. 6205). On July 11, 2019, the Court approved the parties’ joint request and issued an order scheduling deadlines in advance of the hearing. (ECF No. 6216.) The Court ordered the parties to exchange a list of evidentiary exhibits by August 23, 2019, to exchange demonstrative exhibits by August 30, 2019, and to file a joint list of exhibits by September 5, 2019. (*Id.* at 2.) At the parties’ request, the Court later extended the deadline for submission of the parties’ stipulation and joint statement to July 22, 2019. (ECF No. 6219 at 2.)

In its August 14, 2019 Order, the Court indicated that it was “inclined to move the evidentiary hearing to the week of October 15, 2019,” and hold a quarterly status conference on September 13, 2019 instead of the evidentiary hearing. (ECF No. 6242 at

1 13.) The Court requested that the parties present their views on its proposed schedule.
2 (*Id.*)

3 The parties met and conferred regarding the Court's proposed schedule and do not
4 object to continuing any evidentiary hearing to the week of October 15, 2019. Nor do the
5 parties object to the Court conducting a regular quarterly status conference on September
6 13, 2019 in lieu of the evidentiary hearing.

7 Accordingly, the parties jointly request an order granting them relief from the
8 deadlines previously set in anticipation of a hearing on September 13, 2019. And the
9 parties ask that the Court set new deadlines, or order the parties to meet and confer and
10 stipulate to new pre-hearing deadlines, in any further order scheduling the evidentiary
11 hearing, if appropriate.

12 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

13 DATED: August 22, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

14

ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP

15

By: /s/ Cara E. Trapani

16

Cara E. Trapani

17

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

18

DATED: August 22, 2019

XAVIER BECERRA

19

Attorney General of California

20

By: /s/ Robert W. Henkels

21

Robert W. Henkels

22

Deputy Attorney General

23

Attorneys for Defendants

24

ORDER OF THE COURT

25

26 The deadlines set forth in paragraphs 3 through 6 of this Court's July 11, 2019
27 Order (ECF No. 6216) are hereby VACATED. The Court shall set new deadlines in its
28 order rescheduling the evidentiary hearing previously set for September 13, 2019. The

1 parties shall meet and confer and propose new pre-hearing deadlines in their next set of
2 filings related to the evidentiary hearing.

3 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AS CLARIFIED, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4

5 DATED: August 23, 2019.

6

7 
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28