1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,	No. 2:90-cv-0520 KJM DB P
11	Plaintiffs,	
12	v.	ORDER
13	GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	As required by court order, this matter came on for further telephonic status conference on	
17	April 10, 2020, for further updates on defendants' response to the coronavirus pandemic and its	
18	impact on Coleman class members. The court has reviewed the parties' responses to its April 3,	
19	2020 order to show cause, ECF No. 6572, and its April 6, 2020 order, ECF No. 6580. It has also	
20	considered updates from the Special Master and the positions of the parties expressed at the April	
21	10, 2020 status conference. Good cause appearing, the court orders as follows.	
22	I. <u>HOUSING AND PROGRAMMIN</u>	NG FOR COLEMAN CLASS MEMBERS
23	The court raised two questions in its April 6, 2020 order, focused on constitutional	
24	requirements for safe housing of Coleman class members and ongoing delivery of mental health	
25	care during the coronavirus pandemic. See ECF No. 6580 at 2. In their response to that order,	
26	defendants represent they have a number of different plans in varying stages of development and	
27	implementation, see ECF No. 6596 at, e.g., 1 ("plan to achieve a defined level of physical	
28	distancing"), 2 ("multi-faceted plan to prevent the introduction of COVID-19 at CDCR facilities	
		1

1 encompassing physical distancing, population density reduction measures, modified programs, 2 inmate education, increased hygiene, and staff screening, among other things"), 5 ("accelerated 3 release plan"), 6 (plans to transfer inmates from dormitories to vacant buildings and cells) and 8 4 ("creating specialized mental health plans for class members according to their case factors"). 5 Nonetheless, when pressed, defendants took the position at hearing they would not be able to 6 provide a comprehensive written plan to the court memorializing clear strategic goals and 7 objectives to guide and prioritize implementation of the many tasks they have undertaken. Their 8 explanation centered primarily on the constantly evolving circumstances that require flexibility 9 and adaptation as events and requirements change.

10 The adoption of a strategic plan that sets out specific goals and objectives to be 11 accomplished by a date certain is not inconsistent with the flexibility defendants require to meet 12 the significant challenges presented by the coronavirus pandemic. Indeed, such a plan is essential 13 to protection and preservation of the vital interests at stake in this case. Good cause appearing, 14 defendants will be directed to file, not later than 5 p.m. on Thursday, April 16, 2020, a strategic 15 plan for achieving compliance with the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 16 Interim Guidance on Management of Coronavirus Disease (2019) (COVID-19) in Correctional and Detention Facilities (CDC Guidance),¹ to the maximum extent defendants currently maintain 17 18 is possible. The plan should include objectives and timelines for defendants' plans for housing of 19 *Coleman* class members who are not being granted early release from the California Department 20 of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), including those most at risk for COVID-19. It should 21 also provide for continuity of mental health care, including access to clinically indicated levels of 22 mental health care and attendant programming as outlined in the Program Guide. Defendants 23 shall attach as an addendum to the plan a two-column chart that includes in one column each step 24 the CDC Guidance recommends and in the corresponding column whether defendants have 25 planned for and/or implemented that step and, if so, where in the plan that step is discussed. The

26

 ^{27 &}lt;sup>1</sup> See <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/correction-detention/guidance-correctional-detention.html</u>. The court notes that the first section of the CDC Guidance is
28 Operational Preparedness.

defendants shall prepare the plan in consultation with the Special Master, so he is aware of its
provisions as the plan is being developed.

3 The court acknowledges defendants' counsel statement at hearing that defendants must 4 take account of steps the *Plata* Receiver is taking in managing his duties with respect to the provision of health care within CDCR during the coronavirus pandemic. Even so, defendants are 5 6 not relieved of their obligations to Coleman class members. This court agrees that coordination 7 between the *Coleman* and *Plata* cases is desirable to the extent required given the task at hand. 8 The court is aware that a representative of the Receiver's office has been invited to attend and has 9 been present at almost every meeting of the coronavirus task force convened by the Special 10 Master at this court's direction. The court assumes the Special Master in his discretion will 11 continue to include the Receiver's office in meetings and communications as required to ensure 12 the effectiveness of the coronavirus task force the Special Master continues to convene; the court 13 hopes and trusts that his efforts at coordination are being reciprocated and that reciprocity and 14 transparency will be watchwords in the defendants' development of the plan required by this 15 order.

16

II.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE HOSPITAL (DSH) TRANSFERS

17 *Coleman* class members receive necessary inpatient mental health care at psychiatric 18 inpatient programs (PIPs) run by CDCR and in programs at two state hospitals operated by DSH, 19 Atascadero State Hospital and Coalinga State Hospital. During the week of March 16, 2020, 20 DSH suspended admission of six of the seven categories of patients admitted to state hospitals, 21 including *Coleman* class members, due to the coronavirus pandemic. See Hendon Decl. ¶¶ 7, 22 14(f), ECF No. 6590-4. The parties' discussions about the impact of this suspension on the 23 provision of necessary inpatient mental health care to *Coleman* class members and whether the 24 suspension can be lifted subject to specific protocols are ongoing, facilitated by the Special 25 Master, though those discussions may be approaching an impasse.

Good cause appearing, plaintiffs will be given an opportunity to conduct limited and focused written discovery as discussed at hearing concerning the availability of extra space in the state hospitals, given the circumstances posed by the coronavirus pandemic, to provide the care

1	that is necessary to Coleman class members. The discovery authorized by this order shall be	
2	conducted so that it is completed by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, April 17, 2020. If the parties have any	
3	disputes regarding the scope of discovery, they shall immediately notify the courtroom deputy to	
4	request a telephonic hearing before the undersigned.	
5	This matter will be set for a focused evidentiary hearing on Tuesday, April 21, 2020	
6	before the undersigned, at which time the court will be prepared to take testimony from Drs.	
7	Warburton and Bick and an expert to be designated by plaintiffs not later than Wednesday, April	
8	15, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The court also will consider any evidence developed during the discovery	
9	authorized by this order.	
10	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
11	1. Defendants shall file the strategic plan required by this order not later than Thursday,	
12	April 16, 2020.	
13	2. Plaintiffs are authorized to conduct limited expedited discovery as set forth in this	
14	order. Said discovery shall be conducted so that it is completed by 5:00 p.m. on April	
15	17, 2020.	
16	3. This matter is set for further status conference on Friday, April 17, 2020 at 11:00 a.m.	
17	4. This matter is set for evidentiary hearing on Tuesday, April 21, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. on	
18	the issue of Coleman class member access to DSH hospitals. The court will take	
19	testimony from Dr. Warburton, Dr. Bick, and an expert to be designated by plaintiffs	
20	not later than Wednesday, April 15, 2020 at 5:00 p.m.	
21	5. Counsel are directed to contact Casey Schultz, Courtroom Deputy, on Monday, April	
22	20, 2020 for information concerning arrangements for the evidentiary hearing, which	
23	will be conducted by telephone or videoconference or a combination of the two.	
24	6. As the court previously discussed with counsel, the hearing set for April 23, 2020 on	
25	defendants' compliance with, and enforcement of, the court's October 17, 2017	
26	staffing order is vacated pending further order of court.	
27	DATED: April 10, 2020.	
28	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	