Case 2:90-cv-00520-KJM-DB Document 7244 Filed 07/21/21 Page 1 of 4 1 ROB BONTA, State Bar No. 202668 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP Attorney General of California PAUL B. MELLO, State Bar No. 179755 2 MONICA N. ANDERSON, State Bar No. 182970 SAMANTHA D. WOLFF, State Bar No. 240280 Senior Assistant Attorney General LAUREL E. O'CONNOR, State Bar No. 305478 3 DAMON McClain, State Bar No. 209508 DAVID C. CASARRUBIAS, State Bar No. 321994 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 1676 N. California Boulevard, Suite 620 4 ELISE OWENS THORN, State Bar No. 145931 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 NAMRATA KOTWANI, State Bar No. 308741 Telephone: (925) 746-8460 5 Fax: (925) 746-8490 Deputy Attorneys General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 E-mail: PMello@hansonbridgett.com 6 P.O. Box 944255 Attorneys for Defendants Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7318 7 ROMAN M. SILBERFELD, State Bar No. 62783 Fax: (916) 324-5205 GLENN A. DANAS, State Bar No. 270317 8 E-mail: Elise.Thorn@doj.ca.gov ROBINS KAPLAN LLP Attorneys for Defendants 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3400 9 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3208 Telephone: (310) 552-0130 10 Fax: (310) 229-5800 E-mail: RSilberfeld@RobinsKaplan.com Special Counsel for Defendants 11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 13 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO DIVISION 14 15 16 RALPH COLEMAN, et al., Case No. 2:90-cv-00520 KJM-DB (PC) 17 Plaintiffs. STIPULATION AND ORDER 18 GRANTING JOINT REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE DISCOVERY v. 19 MOTIONS 20 GAVIN NEWSOM, et al., Judge: The Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller 21 Defendants. 22 On June 3, 2021, Plaintiff-Intervenor Christopher Lipsey (Lipsey) was granted leave to 23 24 conduct discovery on his claim that the use of Guard One causes sleep deprivation in violation of 25 the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution. (ECF No. 7191.) On June 3, 2021, 26 Lipsey served Defendant Kathleen Allison with his third set of requests for production of documents, numbered 31 through 59. On July 6, 2021, Defendants responded to Lipsey's 27 28 discovery requests, stating objections along with their responses. [3653490.1]

The parties have met and conferred regarding Lipsey's third set of requests for production of documents and Defendants' objections to those requests. Although they have reached an agreement to limit some of the requests and to continue to work to resolve issues with other requests, they are at an impasse with respect to issues related to Request Nos. 35, 36, 41, 42, 43, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, and 56. The Special Master attended the parties' conference on June 29, but he has not taken a position on this dispute.

Defendants seek an order under Rule 26 limiting the scope of Lipsey's discovery requests to documents that are relevant to his claim that the Guard One system makes noise that interrupts his sleep. Specifically, Defendants want an order that precludes discovery of the following documents and issues:

- 1. Documents related to suicides or attempted suicides, and training related to suicide prevention sought in Requests Nos. 35, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, and 56;
- 2. Documents that seek internal communications concerning an analysis of the costs and benefits of the Guard One system sought in Request No. 36;
- 3. Documents related to the costs associated with the purchase of the Guard One system and the benefits of the Guard One system sought in Request No. 41;
- 4. Documents related to individual officers' compliance with the welfare check program sought in Request No. 42; and
- 5. Documents related to individual officers' compliance with the Guard One Order sought in Request No. 43.

Because none of these requests pertain to "the claim for which intervention has been authorized: that the Guard One suicide prevention monitoring system 'causes sleep deprivation in violation of the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution," Defendants will seek to limit the scope of Lipsey's above requests, which exceed this scope. (*See* ECF No. 7191 at 1:26-2:1.) Defendants also dispute Lipsey's asserted connection between the requested documents and his potential claim that Defendants lack of penological interest in monitoring their staff. Suicides and suicide attempts are not relevant to that question. And the cost-benefit analysis Lipsey seeks

Case 2:90-cv-00520-KJM-DB Document 7244 Filed 07/21/21 Page 3 of 4

is likewise not the test applied to determine whether the regulation at issue satisfies a legitimate penological goal.

Lipsey seeks an order compelling Defendants to respond to the requests identified above as propounded because discovery regarding the alleged penological interest in using Guard One, including its efficacy and the availability of any reasonable alternatives, is relevant to Defendants' potential defense that any penological interests in using Guard One defeat Lipsey's Eighth Amendment claim. For example, Lipsey anticipates that the Secretary may argue that the Guard One system is necessary to adequately ensure compliance with the welfare check program and thus reduce the number of inmate suicides, and that the penological interest in reducing suicides in turn affects the Eighth Amendment analysis. Lipsey offered to withdraw his requests related to this issue if Defendants agreed to stipulate that they will not make this type of argument, but Defendants declined to do so. Because Defendants have left open their option to make this argument, Lipsey seeks to take discovery into its factual premises.

Accordingly, the parties stipulate and jointly request leave to file their respective discovery motions under Fed.R.Civ.P 26 and Local Rule 251.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

17	Dated: July 20, 2021	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
18		DAMON McCLAIN Supervising Deputy Attorney General
19		/s/ Elise Owens Thorn
20		ELISE OWENS THORN Deputy Attorney General
21		Attorneys for Defendants
22	Dated: July 20, 2021	REICHMAN JORGENSEN LEHMAN &
23		FELDBERG LLP /s/ Kate Falkenstien
24		Kate Falkenstien
25		Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor
26		
27		

[3653490.1]

Good cause appearing, the parties' joint request is GRANTED. All motions shall be filed and noticed for hearing on this court's regular law and motion calendar in accordance with Local Rule 230 (E.D.Cal.). The motions shall be noticed for hearing so that all fact discovery will be completed by October 29, 2021. See ECF No. 7191 at 2. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 20, 2021

Case 2:90-cv-00520-KJM-DB Document 7244 Filed 07/21/21 Page 4 of 4

[3653490.1]