1	GEOFFREY THOMAS HOLTZ – 191370		
2	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, California 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000		
3			
4	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286		
	DONALD SPECTER – 83925		
5	PRISON LAW OFFICE 1917 Fifth Street		
6	Berkeley, California 94710-1916 Telephone: (510) 280-2621		
7	Facsimile: (510) 280-2704		
8	MICHAEL W. BIEN – 96891 ERNEST GALVAN – 196065		
9	KENNETH M. WALCZAK – 247389	I D	
0	ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD, L 315 Montgomery Street, Tenth Floor San Francisco, California 94104-1823	LP	
11	Telephone: (415) 433-6830		
12	Facsimile: (415) 433-7104		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
14	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
15	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
16	SACKAWEN	TO DIVISION	
17			
18	JERRY VALDIVIA, et al.,	Case No. Civ. S-94-0671 LKK-GGH	
9	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER CONFIRMING UNDISPUTED	
20	V.	ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS FOR FOURTH QUARTER, 2012	
21	EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et al.,	Judge: Hon. Lawrence K. Karlton	
22	Defendants.	Judge. Hon. Lawrence R. Ramon	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

On July 8, 2004, this Court established procedures by which plaintiffs are to collect 1 2 periodic attorneys' fees and costs in this case in connection with their work monitoring 3 defendants' compliance with the Court's Orders and collecting fees. 4 Pursuant to these procedures, plaintiffs sent their Quarterly Statement for the Fourth 5 Quarter of 2012, covering the period of October 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012 to defendants via overnight Federal Express on January 30, 2013. The parties completed 6 7 their meet and confer on March 12, 2013, and reached an agreement as to all of the hours 8 and all of the costs incurred in this quarter. 9 The parties agree to settle the plaintiffs' Fourth Quarter of 2012 fees and costs for 10 \$382,955.81. (A summary of the fees and costs, reflecting the fees at 2012 rates, is 11 attached hereto as Exhibit A.) 12 All disputes regarding Fourth Quarter of 2012 fees and costs, other than the dispute 13 over the fees and costs for work related to Defendants' appeal of the Court's January 24, 14 2012 order (re: Proposition 9), and Plaintiffs' conditional cross-appeal, are resolved through this Order. 15 /// 16 17 18 /// 19 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 28

1	WHEREFORE, IT IS CONFIRMED that \$382,955.81 plus interest accruing at the	
2	rate provided by 28 U.S.C. § 1961 is due and collectable as of forty-five days from the date	
3	of entry of this Order.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5		
6	DATED: March 20, 2013	Respectfully submitted,
7		ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD, LLP
8		By: /s/ Kenneth M. Walczak
9		Kenneth M. Walczak
10		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
11		·
12	DATED: March 26, 2013	HANSON BRIDGETT, LLP
13		
14		By: <u>/s/ Samantha Wolff</u> Samantha Wolff
15		
16		Attorneys for Defendants
17		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19		
20	DATED: March 29, 2013	Jaimme K Kow too
21		LAWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE
22		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		