The record in this action reflects that plaintiff was released from state prison in 2004, and no activity in the action by plaintiff since January 2007. By order filed January 10, 2011, plaintiff was ordered to inform the court in writing within ten days whether he intends to prosecute this action further, and plaintiff was cautioned that failure to respond to that order

23

24

25

26

Doc. 255

would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The ten day period has expired and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the January 10, 2010 order.<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of prosecution and for failure to respond to a court order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: January 25, 2011.

Dale A. Dagel

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:12 yell1298.fr2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the January 10, 2011 order was returned to the court as undeliverable, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.