(HC)(DP) Pr	octor v. Wong, et al	
1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	WILLIAM A. PROCTOR,	No. CIV S-96-1401-JAM-CMK
12	Petitioner,	DEATH PENALTY CASE
13	VS.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	ROBERT L. AYERS, JR., et al.	,
15	Respondents.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding with appointed counsel, seeks a writ of	
18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
19	The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern District of	
20	California local rules.	
21	On May 15, 2007, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations	
22	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file	
23	objections within a specified time. Timely objections to the findings and recommendations have	
24	been filed.	
25	111	
26	///	
		1

Doc. 303

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-	
2	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entir	
3	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by	
4	proper analysis.	
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
6	1. The findings and recommendations filed May 15, 2007, are adopted in	
7	full;	
8	2. Petitioner's Rule 56(f) motion (Docs. 185 and 186) is denied;	
9	3. Petitioner's motion for summary judgment (Doc. 189) is denied in its	
10	entirety;	
11	4. Respondents' motion for summary judgment (Doc. 176) is granted in part	
12	and denied in part without prejudice, as outlined in the May 15, 2007, findings and	
13	recommendations; and	
14	5. Claims A, C, D, F, G, H, J, K (to the extent not already dismissed), L, M,	
15	N, O, Q, R, and S are denied on the merits.	
16	DATED: April 16, 2009	
17		
18	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		