1	HEATHER E. WILLIAMS, SB#122664			
2	Federal Defender TIVON SCHARDL, FL Bar #73016			
3	Trial & Habeas Counsel 801 I Street, 3rd Floor			
4	Sacramento, California 95814			
5	Telephone: 916/498-6666 Facsimile: 916/498-6656			
6	E-mail: tim_schardl@fd.org			
7	TIM BROSNAN, Bar #75938			
8	P.O.B. 2294 Mill Valley, CA 94941			
9	Telephone: (415) 962-7967			
10	Attorneys for Petitioner LANCE IAN OSBAND			
11				
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14				
15	LANCE IAN OSBAND,	Case No. 2:97-CV-0152-KJM		
16	Petitioner,	DEATH PENALTY CASE		
16 17	Petitioner, vs.	<b>DEATH PENALTY CASE</b> ORDER		
17	vs.			
17 18	vs. WARDEN of San Quentin State Prison, Respondent.			
17 18 19	vs. WARDEN of San Quentin State Prison, Respondent.	ORDER r filing his reply to Respondent's answer brief		
17 18 19 20	vs. WARDEN of San Quentin State Prison, Respondent. Petitioner seeks a third extension of time fo	ORDER r filing his reply to Respondent's answer brief claims I, V, IX and X. Pursuant to the court's		
17 18 19 20 21	vs. WARDEN of San Quentin State Prison, <u>Respondent.</u> Petitioner seeks a third extension of time fo regarding the application of 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d) to	ORDER r filing his reply to Respondent's answer brief claims I, V, IX and X. Pursuant to the court's v brief is due April 29, 2014. His counsel state the		
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	vs. WARDEN of San Quentin State Prison, <u>Respondent.</u> Petitioner seeks a third extension of time fo regarding the application of 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d) to order granting, Petitioner's second request the reply	ORDER r filing his reply to Respondent's answer brief claims I, V, IX and X. Pursuant to the court's v brief is due April 29, 2014. His counsel state the ecessary. Respondent does not oppose the		
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	vs. WARDEN of San Quentin State Prison, <u>Respondent.</u> Petitioner seeks a third extension of time fo regarding the application of 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d) to order granting, Petitioner's second request the reply press of work on other cases makes the extension n	ORDER r filing his reply to Respondent's answer brief claims I, V, IX and X. Pursuant to the court's v brief is due April 29, 2014. His counsel state the ecessary. Respondent does not oppose the		
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	vs. WARDEN of San Quentin State Prison, <u>Respondent.</u> Petitioner seeks a third extension of time fo regarding the application of 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d) to order granting, Petitioner's second request the reply press of work on other cases makes the extension n request. The court, being fully informed in the mat	ORDER r filing his reply to Respondent's answer brief claims I, V, IX and X. Pursuant to the court's v brief is due April 29, 2014. His counsel state the ecessary. Respondent does not oppose the ter, finds the request is supported by GOOD		
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	vs. WARDEN of San Quentin State Prison, <u>Respondent.</u> Petitioner seeks a third extension of time for regarding the application of 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d) to order granting, Petitioner's second request the reply press of work on other cases makes the extension n request. The court, being fully informed in the mat CAUSE and should be GRANTED.	ORDER r filing his reply to Respondent's answer brief claims I, V, IX and X. Pursuant to the court's v brief is due April 29, 2014. His counsel state the ecessary. Respondent does not oppose the ter, finds the request is supported by GOOD		
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	vs. WARDEN of San Quentin State Prison, <u>Respondent.</u> Petitioner seeks a third extension of time for regarding the application of 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d) to order granting, Petitioner's second request the reply press of work on other cases makes the extension n request. The court, being fully informed in the mat CAUSE and should be GRANTED.	ORDER r filing his reply to Respondent's answer brief claims I, V, IX and X. Pursuant to the court's v brief is due April 29, 2014. His counsel state the ecessary. Respondent does not oppose the ter, finds the request is supported by GOOD		
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	vs. WARDEN of San Quentin State Prison, <u>Respondent.</u> Petitioner seeks a third extension of time for regarding the application of 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d) to order granting, Petitioner's second request the reply press of work on other cases makes the extension n request. The court, being fully informed in the mat CAUSE and should be GRANTED.	ORDER r filing his reply to Respondent's answer brief claims I, V, IX and X. Pursuant to the court's v brief is due April 29, 2014. His counsel state the ecessary. Respondent does not oppose the ter, finds the request is supported by GOOD		

1	IT IS	S SO ORDERED.	
2	DATED:	April 30, 2014.	
3			
4			MA Made
5			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Order		2 Osband v. Warden, 2:97-CV-0152-KJM