

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-98-0442 FCD DAD

12 v.

13 LEE D. WIGHT, et al.,

ORDER

14 Defendants.  
15 \_\_\_\_\_/

16 This case, which is closed and on appeal, came before the undersigned on  
17 February 13, 2009, for hearing on plaintiff's motion to strike a series of documents recently filed  
18 by pro se defendants Lee Wight and Marjorie Wight. G. Patrick Jennings, Esq. appeared  
19 telephonically on behalf of plaintiff. No appearance was made by or on behalf of defendants Lee  
20 Wight and Marjorie Wight.

21 Neither defendant has filed any document that addresses plaintiff's motion on its  
22 merits. On January 29, 2009, defendant Lee Wright filed a photocopy of plaintiff's motion on  
23 each page of which is superimposed a large stamp reading in part: "Notice I Do Not Accept This  
24 Offer of Contract and I Do Not Consent to This Proceeding and I Do Not Consent to be Fiduciary  
25 or Surety." (Doc. No. 266.) On February 3, 2009, defendant Lee Wight filed a document titled  
26 "Notice of Order and Order to Strike Docket No. 264," to which is attached a copy of plaintiff's

1 notice of motion with the same stamp superimposed on each page. (Doc. No. 267.) On February  
2 10, 2009, defendant Lee Wight filed a document titled "Notice of Void Order," to which is  
3 attached a copy of the order of judicial sale issued by Judge Damrell on June 4, 2007, with the  
4 same stamp superimposed on the first page of the document. These filings by defendant Lee  
5 Wight<sup>1</sup> do not comply with Local Rule 7-131, and each filing violates the orders issued by Judge  
6 Damrell on December 20, 2000 (Doc. No. 124) and March 20, 2002 (Doc. No. 178).

7 Having considered plaintiff's unopposed motion, plaintiff's arguments at the  
8 hearing of the properly noticed motion, and the entire file, and for the reasons stated on the  
9 record, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 10 1. Plaintiff's January 12, 2009 motion to strike (Doc. No. 264) is granted; and  
11 2. Defendants' filings docketed as numbers 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261,  
12 262, 263, 265, 266, 267, and 269 are stricken.

13 DATED: February 17, 2009.

14  
15   
16 \_\_\_\_\_  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

17 DAD:kw  
18 Ddad1/orders.civil/wight0442.oah.021309  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>1</sup> None of these documents bears the signature of defendant Marjorie Wight.