(DP) (HC) Frye v. Calderon, et al	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JERRY GRANT FRYE,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-99-0628 LKK KJM
12	vs. DEATH PENALTY CASE
13	WARDEN, San Quentin State Prison,
14	Respondent. ORDER
15	/ <u>ORDER</u>
16	
17	Respondent seeks clarification of the court's November 1, 2010 order. The court
18	did not intend to limit respondent's discovery on claim 37 to that respondent might need to
19	oppose the motion for an evidentiary hearing. The court will permit the parties to conduct
20	discovery on claim 37 if it grants petitioner's motion for an evidentiary hearing on claim 37.
21	DATED: December 6, 2010.
22	10 A 111 10
23	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24	
25	
26	frye clarif.or
	1

Doc. 562