1 2 3 4 5	PHILIP C. HUNSUCKER (SBN 135860) BRIAN L. ZAGON (SBN 142403) MARC SHAPP (SBN 266805) HUNSUCKER GOODSTEIN PC 3717 Mt. Diablo Blvd., Suite 200 Lafayette, CA 94549 Telephone: (925) 284-0840 Facsimile: (925) 284-0870 LEE N. SMITH (SBN 138071)		
6 7 8	PERKINS, MANN & EVERETT, APC HUNSUCKER GOODSTEIN PC 7815 N. Palm Ave, Suite 200 Fresno, CA 93711 Telephone: (559) 447-5700 Facsimile: (559) 447-5600		
9 10	Attorneys for Plaintiff AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC.		
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ol>	FRED M. BLUM, ESQ. (SBN 101586) ERIN K. POPPLER, ESQ. (SBN 267724) VIVY D. DANG, ESQ. (SBN 297714) BASSI, EDLIN, HUIE & BLUM LLP 500 Washington Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 397-9006 Facsimile: (415) 397-1339 Attorneys for Defendant TEXAS EASTERN OVERSEAS, INC. [Additional Attorneys Listed on Signature Page	]	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20 21	AMERIPRIDE SERVICES, INC.,	) Case No. 2:00-cv-00113-MCE-EFB	
22	Plaintiffs, vs.	<ul> <li>STIPULATION AND ORDER</li> <li>REGARDING EVIDENCE RELATED TO</li> <li>AMERIPRIDE'S PRIOR SETTLEMENTS</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	VALLEY INDUSTRIAL SERVICES, INC., a former California corporation, et al., Defendants.	) Judge: Hon. Morrison C. England ) Trial Date: August 5, 2016	
23 26			
20		·	
28			
20		1	
	STIPULATION AND ORDER REG	I GARDING EVIDENCE RELATED TO RIOR SETTLEMENTS	
	AMERIPRIDE'S P	RIOR SETTLEMENTS	
		Docket	

Plaintiff AmeriPride Services Inc. ("AmeriPride") and Defendant Texas Eastern Overseas, Inc. ("TEO"), by and through their respective counsel, stipulate as follows with respect to evidence that resulted in AmeriPride's settlements with Huhtamaki Foodservice, Inc. ("Huhtamaki") and California-American Water Company ("Cal-Am"):

## RECITALS

1. On or about July 2005, AmeriPride and Cal-Am entered into a settlement agreement to settle claims from the action known as <u>California-American Water Company v. AmeriPride</u> <u>Services, Inc.</u>, Case No. 2:02-cv-01479-LKK-JFM. <sup>1</sup> The \$2 million AmeriPride paid Cal-Am settled "all claims Cal-Am Water Co. had against AmeriPride." Dkt. 864.

2. On February 12, 2007, AmeriPride and Huhtamaki entered into a settlement agreement to settle claims from the consolidated action known as <u>AmeriPride Services, Inc. v. Valley</u> <u>Industrial Services, Inc.</u>, Case No. 2:00-cv-00113-MCE-EFB, consolidated with <u>Huhtamaki</u> <u>Foodservice, Inc. v. AmeriPride Services, Inc.</u>, Case No. 2:04-cv-01494-LKK-JFM. The \$8.25 million AmeriPride paid Huhtamaki settled "all claims Huhtamaki had against AmeriPride." Dkt. 864.

3. Following the Court's Pretrial Scheduling Order (Dkt. 988), TEO served discovery requests and notices of deposition on AmeriPride, Huhtamaki and Cal-Am seeking discovery related to the settlement negotiations that resulted in the final settlement agreements.

TEO asserts that the decision by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in this matter requires that AmeriPride prove what portion of the settlements were reimbursement for the CERCLA claims asserted by Huhtamaki or Cal-Am and that the discovery discussed herein is relevant thereto. AmeriPride disputes TEO's position and this Stipulation shall not be interpreted as AmeriPride's agreement with any legal or factual position held by TEO.
 AmeriPride, Huhtamaki and Cal-Am objected to TEO's settlement related discovery

because it sought evidence of settlement negotiations, including mediation communications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This action was related with the consolidated action known as <u>AmeriPride Services, Inc. v. Valley Industrial</u> <u>Services, Inc.</u>, Case No. 2:00-cv-00113-MCE-EFB, consolidated with <u>Huhtamaki Foodservice, Inc. v. AmeriPride</u> <u>Services, Inc.</u>, Case No. 2:04-cv-01494-LKK-JFM.

6. To avoid motion practice related to this discovery dispute and to streamline the presentation of evidence, TEO and AmeriPride agree that for all purposes in this Action, other than what is expressly stated in the final settlement agreements and in the Stipulation And Order Concerning Costs Incurred By AmeriPride Services Inc. filed on January 9, 2012 (Dkt. 864), neither party shall seek to admit evidence related to the terms or meaning of the final settlement agreements, or related to AmeriPride, Huhtamaki and Cal-Am's (and their respective counsel's) intent in entering into the final settlement agreements.

## **STIPULATION**

The Parties, through their respective counsel of record, stipulate as follows:

1. For all purposes in this Action, other than what is expressly stated in the final settlement agreements and in the Stipulation And Order Concerning Costs Incurred By AmeriPride Services Inc. filed on January 9, 2012 (Dkt. 864), neither party shall seek to admit evidence related to the terms or meaning of the written Settlement Agreements, or related to AmeriPride, Huhtamaki and Cal-Am's (and their respective counsel's) intent in entering into the final settlement agreements.

2. The Parties are not precluded from submitting evidence of the claims that were resolved by the final settlement agreements with Cal-Am and Huhtamaki.

3. AmeriPride, Huhtamaki and Cal-Am are not required to respond to any TEO discovery requests relating to the settlement negotiations that resulted in the final settlement agreements.

4. Neither party shall seek to depose the persons who participated in negotiating the settlement agreements, including the attorneys for AmeriPride, Huhtamaki or Cal-Am. This shall not preclude either party from serving discovery related to documents that are otherwise relevant and in the possession of said attorneys.

Date: September 28, 2015

BASSI, EDLIN, HUIE & BLUM LLP

By: /s/ Erin K. Poppler

FRED M. BLUM ERIN K. POPPLER Attorneys for Defendant TEXAS EASTERN OVERSEAS, INC.

1		
2	Date:September 28, 2015WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
3	Du: /s/ Donald S. Bushnar	
5	By: <u>/s/ Ronald S. Bushner</u> RONALD S. BUSHNER (SBN 98352)	
6	RONALD S. BUSHNER (SBN 98352) SHANA INSPEKTOR (SBN 291841) WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
7 8	525 Market Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2725	
9	Telephone: (415) 433-0990 Facsimile: (415) 434 1370 Attorneys for Defendant	
10	TEXAS EASTERN OVERSEAS, INC.	
11		
12	Date:September 28, 2015HUNSUCKER GOODSTEIN & NELSON PC	
13	By: /s/ Brian L. Zagon	
14	PHILIP C. HUNSUCKER BRIAN L. ZAGON	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC.	
16 17	Date:September 28, 2015PERKINS MANN & EVERETT, APC	
18	By: /s/ Lee N. Smith	
19	LEE N. SMITH	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC.	
21	ORDER	
22	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, other than what is expressly stated in the final	
23	settlement agreements and in the Stipulation And Order Concerning Costs Incurred By	
24	AmeriPride Services Inc. filed on January 9, 2012 (Dkt. 864), neither party shall seek to admit	
25	evidence related to the terms or meaning of the written Settlement Agreements, or related to	
26	AmeriPride, Huhtamaki and Cal-Am's (and their respective counsel's) intent in entering into the	
27	final settlement agreements. The Parties are not precluded from submitting evidence of the	
28	claims that were resolved by the final settlement agreements with Cal-Am and Huhtamaki.	

Ш

STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING EVIDENCE RELATED TO AmeriPride's Prior Settlements

Neither party shall seek to depose the persons who participated in negotiating the settlement agreements, including the attorneys for AmeriPride, Huhtamaki or Cal-Am. This shall not preclude either party from serving discovery related to documents that are otherwise relevant and in the possession of said attorneys IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October 5, 2015 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR. CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING EVIDENCE RELATED TO AMERIPRIDE'S PRIOR SETTLEMENTS