1	
1	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	PAUL ERIC HEBBE,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-00-0306 EFB P
12	VS.
13	CHERYL PLILER, et. al,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. This case is before the undersigned pursuant to the parties' consent. See 28
18	U.S.C. § 636.
19	On September 27, 2007, a jury returned a verdict in favor of the defendants, and on
20	September 28, 2007, judgment was entered. Plaintiff appealed, challenging the district court's
21	April 22, 2002 partial grant of defendants' motion to dismiss.
22	The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit reversed the court's ruling and remanded
23	the case as to the following two claims: 1) that prison officials violated his constitutional right of
24	court access because they denied him use of the prison law library without providing any
25	alternative means of legal research assistance during the limited time period in which he was
26	permitted to appeal his state court criminal conviction, and 2) prison officials violated his Eighth
	1

Amendment right to be free of cruel and unusual punishment because they forced him to choose
between two constitutional rights, his right to exercise and his right of court access, by allowing
him out of his cell only two hours per day, four days per week, for a period of eight months.

Thus, the case must be reopened for further proceedings on these two claims only. On January 5, 2011, the judgment of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals took effect. Good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The Clerk of the court shall reopen the case.

2. Within thirty days of the date of this order, the parties shall submit written status reports addressing the issues listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c)(2) and Local Rule 240.

DATED: February 1, 2011.

Lib im

EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE