8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
DANNY JAMES COHEA,	No. 2:00-cv-2799-GEB-EFB P
Plaintiff,	
v.	<u>ORDER</u>
CHERYL K. PLILER, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
On February 18, 2015, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to	
22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has filed	
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.	
In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire	
26 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by	
27 proper analysis.	
/////	
	DANNY JAMES COHEA, Plaintiff, V. CHERYL K. PLILER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding punder 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was refered 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On February 18, 2015, the magistrate which were served on all parties and which count the findings and recommendations were to be objections to the findings and recommendation of a court has conducted a de novo review of this file, the court finds the findings and recommendation proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed February 18, 2015, are adopted in full; and
- 2. Plaintiff's "request for order on obstruction of justice related to obstruction of court order(s)" (ECF No. 252) is denied without prejudice.

So ordered.

Dated: April 24, 2015

Senior United States District Judge