

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MOHAMED E. LASHEEN,
Plaintiff,

v.

THE LOOMIS COMPANY, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:01-cv-0227-KJM-EFB

ORDER

AND RELATED CROSS-CLAIMS.

Plaintiff filed a motion to compel defendants to provide responses to post-judgement discovery requests, which is currently set for hearing on August 9, 2017. ECF Nos. 421, 424. On July 12, 2017, defendants filed a request for an extension of time to file a response to plaintiff's motion, explaining that defendants' counsel has had to attend to a number of matters, including preparation for trial in a different case, that have made it difficult to prepare an adequate response to plaintiff's motion. ECF No. 425. Defendants request an extension until August 1, 2017, to file a response to plaintiff's motion. *Id.*

Good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1. Defendants' request for an extension of time to respond to plaintiff's motion to compel (ECF No. 425) is granted.

1 2. The hearing on plaintiff's motion to compel (ECF No. 421) is continued to August 30,
2 2017.

3 3. Defendants shall file an opposition to the motion, or a statement of non-opposition
4 thereto, no later than August 1, 2017.

5 4. Failure to file an opposition to the motion will be deemed a statement of non-
6 opposition thereto, and may result in plaintiff's motion being granted.

7 5. Plaintiff may file a reply to defendants' opposition, if any, on or before August 9,
8 2017.

9 DATED: July 17, 2017.


EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28