

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

EL DORADO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA;
and CITY OF SOUTH LAKE TAHOE,
CALIFORNIA

 Defendants.

AND RELATED ACTIONS.

No. 2:01-cv-1520 MCE DAD

ORDER

On April 3, 2014, the assigned District Judge referred this matter to the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 301, and the parties’ agreement, for an evidentiary hearing and, thereafter, the issuance of findings and recommendations regarding reimbursement issues surrounding remediation efforts at the site which is the subject of this litigation. (Dkt. No. 436.)


Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A Status Conference is set for **Friday, May 16, 2014, at 10:00 a.m.**, at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27, before the undersigned.
2. Any party may appear at the status conference telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the courtroom deputy of the undersigned

1 magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours before the Status Conference; a land
2 line telephone number must be provided.

3 3. The parties' shall file a joint status report on or before **May 9, 2014**, that
4 provides an update as to the status of the parties' dispute and joint or separate proposed discovery
5 schedules.

6 Dated: April 15, 2014

7 

8

DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 DAD:6
10 Ddad1\orders.civil\U.S. v. El Dorado.1520.osscc.docx

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28