

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THOMAS EUGENE MOORE,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-02-0007 JAM DAD P

vs.

ROBERT HOREL, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_/

Petitioner has requested an extension of time to file and serve objections to the findings and recommendations issued by the court in this case on August 17, 2009 . The court will grant petitioner’s request in part. Petitioner is advised that the purpose of the objections is to inform the court of “those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(C). The purpose of such objections is not to provide the parties an opportunity to conduct additional research and present new arguments that were not included in the motion heard by the assigned magistrate judge. Finally, a legal brief and attachments in support of the objections is not required.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner’s September 3, 2009 motion for an extension of time (Doc. No. 128) is granted in part;

1                   2. Petitioner shall file and serve his objections to the August 17, 2009 findings  
2 and recommendations, if any, on or before September 23, 2009. No further extensions of time  
3 will be granted for this purpose; and

4                   3. No reply by respondent to petitioner's objections is required unless further  
5 ordered by the court.

6 DATED: September 9, 2009.

7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 DAD:4  
11 moor0007.eot  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26