I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	THOMAS EUGENE MOORE,
10	Petitioner, No. CIV S-02-0007 JAM DAD P
11	vs.
12	ROBERT HOREL, Warden,
13	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
14	/
15	Petitioner has again requested the appointment of counsel. As petitioner has been
16	previously advised, there currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas
17	proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). In addition, the court
18	does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the
19	present time.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's September 11, 2009,
21	request for appointment of counsel (Doc. No. 130) is denied without prejudice.
22	DATED: September 15, 2009.
23	Dale A. Duga
24	DALE A. DROZD
25	DAD:4 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE moor7.110.130
26	