

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICK J. MEJIA,	)	
	)	2:02-cv-1174-GEB-EFB
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	<u>ORDER</u>
v.	)	
	)	
CITY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

The parties shall file a joint status report no later than October 2, 2006, in which they propose a trial date for the remanded issues and discuss whether the district court should exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims that were dismissed

/////

1 without prejudice by the Order filed April 5, 2004.<sup>1</sup>

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 Dated: September 5, 2006

4 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.  
5 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.  
6 United States District Judge

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>1</sup> The failure of one or more of the parties to participate in  
27 the preparation of the joint status report does not excuse the other  
28 parties from their obligation to timely file a status report in  
accordance with this Order. In the event a party fails to participate  
as ordered, the party timely submitting the status report shall include  
a declaration explaining why it was unable to obtain the cooperation of  
the other party or parties.