

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10  
11

12 VERNON WAYNE MCNEAL,  
13 Plaintiff,

NO. 2:02-cv-2524-MCE-JFM P

14 v.

ORDER

15 FLEMING, et al.,  
16 Defendants.  
17

18 -----oo0oo-  
19  
20

21 By Order filed June 21, 2011 (ECF No. 206), this Court  
22 denied Plaintiff's seventh request for appointment of counsel in  
23 this matter. Now before the Court is Plaintiff's July 27, 2011  
24 Motion for Reconsideration of that Order. Review of that  
25 reconsideration request indicates no new or different facts or  
26 circumstances that were not already considered in the Court's  
27 June 21, 2011 Order. As such, Plaintiff's request fails to meet  
28 the requirements of Eastern District Local Rule 230(j).

1 In addition, Plaintiff's motion itself indicates that  
2 Plaintiff has already sought relief from the Ninth Circuit after  
3 this Court issued its June 21, 2011 Order. The Ninth Circuit  
4 also denied Plaintiff's request for counsel on or about July 20,  
5 2011 according to Plaintiff's declaration.

6 For all these reasons, Plaintiff's Motion for  
7 Reconsideration (ECF No. 207) is DENIED.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: November 18, 2011

10  
11   
12

13 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28