(PC) Brooks	v. Alameida, et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JAMES L. BROOKS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-03-2343 JAM EFB P
12	vs.
13	EDWARD S. ALAMEIDA, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants move to amend the scheduling order and extend the time for filing a
18	dispositive motion. Dckt. No. 175. Along with their request, defendants have also filed a
19	motion for summary judgment. Dckt. No. 176. Plaintiff opposes defendants' request and asks
20	that this action proceed to trial. Dckt. No. 183. After reviewing the request and the evidence
21	offered in support thereof, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
22	1. Defendants' request for leave to file a dispositive motion is granted and the November
23	17, 2010 motion for summary judgment is deemed timely; and
24	
25	
26	
	1

Doc. 184

2. Plaintiff shall file an opposition or statement of no opposition to defendants' motion within 30 days of the service of this order.

DATED: March 2, 2011.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE