

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

WILLIAM A. ROMERO,
Petitioner,

No. CIV S-04-0459-MCE-CMK-P

vs.

ORDER

D. RUNNELS,
Respondent.

_____ /


Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Pending before the court is petitioner’s request for a certificate of appealability (Doc. 66).

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has timely filed a notice of appeal of this court’s denial of his application for a writ of habeas corpus. Before petitioner can appeal this decision, a certificate of appealability must issue. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 “only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). The court must either issue a certificate of appealability indicating which issues satisfy the required showing or must state the reasons why such a certificate should not issue. See Fed. R. App. P.

1 22(b); but see Woods v. Carey, 525 F.3d 886 (9th Cir. 2008) (citing White v. Lambert, 370 F.3d
2 1002, 1010 (9th Cir. 2004), and suggesting that a certificate of appealability is not required in
3 cases where petitioner challenges the denial of parole). For the reasons set forth in the
4 Magistrate Judge's May 22, 2009, findings and recommendations, petitioner has not made a
5 substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for a certificate
7 of appealability (Doc. 66) is denied.

8 Dated: July 28, 2009

9 
10 _____
11 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26