

1 Lynn Hubbard III SBN 69773
 2 Scottlynn J Hubbard IV, SBN 212970
 3 Khushpreet R. Mehton, SBN 276827
DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC
 4 12 Williamsburg Lane
 Chico, CA 95926
 Telephone: (530) 895-3252
 Facsimile: (530) 894-8244

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Byron Chapman

6
 7 Roland Juarez, SBN 160793
 Christiane A. Roussell, SBN 249847
Hunton & Williams LLP
 8 550 South Hope Street, Suite 2000
 9 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2627
 Telephone: (213) 532-2000
 10 Facsimile: (213) 532-2020

11 Attorneys for Defendant Pier 1 Imports (U.S.)
 12 Inc. dba Pier 1 Imports #1132

13 United States District Court
 14 For The Eastern District of California

16	Byron Chapman)	No. 2:04-cv-01339-LKK-DAD
17)	
18	Plaintiff,)	Joint Stipulation to Amend the
19	vs.)	Scheduling Order to Continue the
20)	Final Pretrial Conference, Pretrial
21	Pier 1 Imports (U.S.), Inc.;)	Statement, and All Accompanying
22	<i>et al.</i> ,)	Upcoming Pretrial Deadlines; and
23)	Order
24	Defendants.)	

25 Plaintiff Byron Chapman (“Chapman”) and Defendant Pier 1 Imports
 26 (U.S.) Inc., dba Pier 1 Imports #1132 (“Pier 1”) (collectively “the Parties”)
 27 enter into the following stipulation:
 28

1 **WHEREAS**, on July 18, 2011, the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order set
2 the jury trial for September 11, 2012, at 10:30 a.m.. (*See* Docket No. 166).

3 **WHEREAS**, the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order set the Final Pretrial
4 Conference for June 11, 2012, at 2:00 p.m. (*See* Docket No. 166).

5 **WHEREAS**, the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order required that the
6 parties confer and file, Separate Pretrial Statement with a Joint Statement in
7 regards to the undisputed facts and disputed factual issues which remain in this
8 case, no later than May 28, 2012. (*See* Docket No. 166).

9 **WHEREAS**, Defendant Pier 1 filed its motion for summary judgment
10 on January 30, 2012. (*See* Docket No. 181).

11 **WHEREAS**, Plaintiff filed his own motion for summary judgment
12 February 10, 2012. (*See* Docket No. 186).

13 **WHEREAS**, oral argument for Plaintiff's and Defendant's respective
14 motions for summary judgment was heard by the Court on March 12, 2012.
15 (*See* Docket No. 204)

16 **WHEREAS**, following oral argument for Plaintiff's and Defendant's
17 respective motions for summary judgment, the Court's Minute Order from
18 March 12, 2012 reflects that the matters have been taken under submission
19 to the Court, with an Order to follow. (*See* Docket No. 204).

20 **WHEREAS**, Plaintiff and Defendant currently have pending motions
21 for summary judgment and the Parties believe that it would be a waste of the
22 Parties' and Court's time and resources to engage in pretrial preparation
23 when the case may be resolved by the pending dispositive motions. (*See*
24 Docket Nos. 181, 186, & 204).

1 **THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** by
2 and among the Parties hereto that the final pretrial conference, pretrial
3 statement, and all other upcoming pretrial deadlines, including, but not
4 limited to the deadline to file Pretrial Statements, Joint Statement of
5 Undisputed Facts, and Disputed Factual Issues, be continued for at least
6 sixty (60) days, or until a date more convenient to the Court.

7
8 Dated: May 21, 2012

DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC

9 /s/ Lynn Hubbard III /

10 Lynn Hubbard III, Esq.

11 Attorney for Plaintiff, Byron Chapman

12
13 Dated: May 21, 2012

HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP

14 /s/ Roland Juarez /

15 Roland Juarez, Esq.

16 Attorney for Defendant Pier 1 Imports
17 (U.S.) Inc. dba Pier 1 Imports # 1132

1 **Order**

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff Byron Chapman's
3 ("Chapman"), and Defendant Pier 1 Imports (U.S.), Inc. dba Pier 1 Imports
4 #1132 ("Pier 1") (collectively "the Parties") Joint Stipulation to Amend the
5 Scheduling Order to Continue the Final Pretrial Conference, Pretrial
6 Statement, and all Accompanying Upcoming Pretrial Deadlines be
7 GRANTED.

8 Accordingly, the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference Order, Dkt.
9 No. 166 ("PTO"), is hereby **AMENDED** as follows:


10 1. The final Pretrial Conference is **SET** for September 4, 2012 at
11 1:30 p.m. The previously set date of June 11, 2012 is **VACATED**.

12 2. Any party may rely on its Pretrial Statement (if any), filed in
13 reliance on the PTO (e.g., Defendant's Pretrial Statement, Dkt. No. 208), **or**
14 it must file an entirely new Pretrial Statement in accordance with the local
15 rules and the PTO.

16 3. Trial is **SET** for December 4, 2012 at 10:30 a.m. The previously
17 set date of September 12, 2012 is **VACATED**.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: June 6, 2012

20
21
22 
23 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
24 SENIOR JUDGE
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
26
27
28