

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHANCELLOR WADE,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-1711 GEB DAD P

vs.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,

ORDER AND

Defendants.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

By order filed November 24, 2008, this court directed plaintiff to file a pretrial statement on or before December 29, 2008. Plaintiff has not responded to the court's order. The court will therefore recommend that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f); Local Rule 11-110.


In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the pretrial conference set for January 30, 2009 is vacated; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f); Local Rule 11-110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written

1 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
2 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections  
3 shall be served and filed within ten days after service of the objections. The parties are advised  
4 that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District  
5 Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 DATED: January 21, 2009.

7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 DAD:4  
11 wade1711.fpts  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26