1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	FRED LEON JACKSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-04-2516 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13	J. SPAULDING, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. On August 1, 2008, defendants filed a motion to dismiss this action on the
18	ground that plaintiff failed to exhaust available administrative remedies before filing suit. See 42
19	U.S.C. § 1997e; Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b). Although being granted three extensions of time to file a
20	response, plaintiff had not filed an opposition or statement of no opposition to the motion to
21	dismiss. The court granted plaintiff his final extension on January 9, 2009. That order provided
22	that no further extensions of time would be granted and also set out the court's authority to
23	dismiss an action with or without prejudice if a party disobeys an order or the applicable rules of
24	court. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
25	////
26	////
	1

The 20-day period has expired and plaintiff has not filed an opposition or statement of no
 opposition to the motion to dismiss, or otherwise responded to the January 9, 2009, order. This
 action must be dismissed.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in this court's January 9, 2009, order, it is
RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections
within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
Dated: February 13, 2009.

Elmind F. Bieman

EDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE