1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	STEVEN LEE VARNUM,	No. 2:04-cv-2659 GEB CKD P (TEMP)
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	RICHARD KIRKLAND,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of habeas	
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On January 25, 2016, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
21	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to	
22	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27	analysis.	
28	/////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed January 25, 2016, are adopted in full;
3	2. Petitioner's August 19, 2015 filing submitted as a Rule 60(b) motion (Docket No. 40)
4	is deemed in substance an unauthorized successive application for a writ of habeas corpus and
5	therefore is dismissed; and
6	3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. §
7	2253.
8	Dated: February 22, 2016
9	
10	And E. Prouth
11	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Senior United States District Judge
12	Senior onited States District oudge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2